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## RATIONALE

The Faculty Bylaws are the foundational document within the shared governance structure used to guide decision-making by the faculty body. Last approved in 2019, the current proposed revisions were put forward to address outdated terminology and to align institutional processes with best practices. The specific details of each area of change are outlined in the supplementary material. The Board of Trustees has the authority to approve all revisions from the faculty as they shall recommend.
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I. Introduction
A. Purpose of the Faculty Bylaws

These Faculty Bylaws set forth the policies of faculty governance as established by the vote of the faculty and approved by the Board of Trustees. These policies include policies and procedures for faculty appointment, evaluation and tenure; for organizing the administration of the faculty and the curriculum; for organizing the Faculty Senate, faculty committees and meetings. The Faculty Bylaws are accompanied by the Faculty Handbook, which provides interpretive details relevant to faculty governance but do not rise to the level of Faculty Bylaws. Provisions in the Handbook are established by vote of the faculty and approved by the Dean of the Faculty. More general College policies and procedures are detailed in the Employee Handbook, which is maintained by the Office of Human Resources.

Persons appointed to tenured and tenure-track teaching positions and tenured and tenuretrack librarians are collectively referred to as tenured and tenure-track faculty in these bylaws. Although the evaluation processes are described separately for teaching faculty and librarians, tenured and tenure-track teaching positions and tenured and tenure-track librarian positions have the same rights and responsibilities. Persons appointed to other teaching positions, such as parttime positions, summer school positions, teaching assistantships, lectureships, and visiting and term appointments, shall be deemed to be temporary faculty members. The temporary faculty member's contract shall formalize the appointment of all temporary faculty members, and such appointments shall be subject to the approval of the President and the Board of Trustees. The appointment of the temporary faculty member shall automatically terminate at the end of the period stated in the temporary faculty member's contract. No provision of these Faculty Bylaws hereafter following shall apply to temporary faculty members except as expressly provided.
B. College Governance

The College is governed pursuant to and in accordance with the statutes of the State of Maryland, the College Bylaws promulgated by the Board of Trustees and these Faculty Bylaws approved by the Board of Trustees. The statutes of the State of Maryland prevail over any College Bylaw or Faculty Bylaw in the event of any inconsistency. In turn, the College Bylaws prevail over any Faculty Bylaw in the event of any inconsistency. Pursuant to its statutory authority for the care, management and control of the College, the Board of Trustees may amend the College Bylaws and the Faculty Bylaws in the event the Board determines it is necessary and proper to do so under the law.
C. Academic Freedom

Institutions of higher education, including St. Mary's College of Maryland, exist for the common good. The common good depends on the free search for truth and its free expression. Academic freedom is the freedom to discuss all relevant matters in the classroom, to explore all avenues of scholarship, research, and creative expression, and to speak or write without institutional discipline or restraint on matters of public concern as well as on matters related to professional duties and the functioning of the College.

Academic responsibility implies the faithful performance of professional duties and obligations, the recognition of the demands of the academic enterprise, and the candor to make it clear that when one is speaking on matters of public interest, one is not speaking for the institution. All faculty members must maintain their professional competence and their ability to display it in their lectures, performances, publications, and exhibitions.
D. Amendments to These Bylaws

1. Amendments to these bylaws by the faculty may be proposed by either one of the following: a. Two-thirds of the Faculty Senate
b. The presentation of a petition signed by at least thirty percent of the faculty
2. Adoption of Amendments
a. Each amendment must be presented to the faculty one week in advance for consideration at a duly convened faculty meeting. If the proposed amendment is approved by a simple majority of that meeting, then it shall appear on the agenda of a faculty meeting not less than two weeks later. On the second consideration of the amendment, approval of the proposed amendment requires an affirmative vote by a majority of the faculty who count toward a quorum.
b. In the event that approval of the proposed amendment by a majority of the faculty who count toward a quorum is not obtained at the second meeting, an electronic ballot may be authorized at the second meeting by a majority of those present who count towards a quorum. If an electronic ballot occurs, an affirmative vote by sixty percent of the faculty who count toward a quorum will be required to pass the amendment.
3. Approval of the Board of Trustees
a. Amendments to these bylaws that have been approved by the faculty shall be forwarded to the Dean of Faculty, who will make a recommendation to the President.
b. The President will, in turn, make a recommendation to the Board of Trustees for its consideration and the bylaws shall become effective if board approval is given.
II. Academic Organization
A. The Vice President for Academic Affairs and Dean of Faculty
4. The Vice President for Academic Affairs (VPAA) and Dean of Faculty (hereafter referred to as Dean of Faculty) is the chief academic officer of the College and provides leadership in all areas related to the academic life of the College.
5. The Dean of the Faculty is selected upon final recommendation of the President to the Board.
6. Appointment of the Dean of Faculty

When a vacancy occurs in the Office of the Dean of Faculty, the President of the College shall so announce to the College community and consult with the Faculty Senate and follow the procedure for the selection of a new Dean of Faculty as outlined below:
a. Initial Meeting

Upon becoming aware of a vacancy or potential vacancy in the position of the Dean of Faculty, the President shall meet with the Faculty Senate. After consultation with the Faculty Senate, the President shall determine whether an Interim Dean of Faculty should be appointed or if a search should ensue.
b. Search Committee

1) Selection and Make-up of the Committee

The Search Committee shall consist of the President, two members of the College community selected by the President and four faculty members selected by the faculty. Once notified of an impending search, the Faculty Senate shall convene a special faculty meeting at which four members of the seven-member committee shall be selected by the faculty, with no two members elected from the same department.
2) Duties of the Search Committee

The Search Committee shall solicit and review applications and interview candidates. The Search Committee shall then recommend acceptable candidates to the President. The President shall recommend one of the proposed candidates to the Board of Trustees or direct the Search Committee to re-open the search and submit further candidates.
4. Evaluation of the Dean of Faculty

The President shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Dean of Faculty. Prior to conducting the evaluation of the Dean of Faculty, the President shall meet with the Faculty Senate. At that meeting the Faculty Senate shall provide the President, either orally or in writing, with an evaluation of the Dean of Faculty. All discussions and written material presented at that meeting shall remain confidential.
5. Removal of the Dean of Faculty

At any time, the President or three-fourths of the full-time faculty members may express their lack of confidence in the Dean of Faculty to the Board of Trustees. If the board concurs, the Dean of Faculty shall relinquish office and be allowed to serve on the faculty for at least three years.
B. Academic Programs

1. Unless otherwise stated, the term "academic program" or "program" shall refer to an approved curriculum resulting in Maryland Higher Education Commission - recognized credentials (a major or a minor). The term "department" shall refer to an administrative unit, comprising faculty delivering one or more programs of study.
2. Academic departments are organized into three divisions, each of which elect divisional senators and committee representatives, and are supported by a specific Associate Dean of Faculty.
3. Authorities and Responsibilities of Academic Programs
a. For purposes of instruction, program, budget, and evaluation, the faculty is organized into academic programs consisting of discipline-based departments and cross-disciplinary programs.
b. The academic program is responsible for the development, execution, review, and assessment of its curriculum and policies.
c. Each academic department is administered by a department chair who leads the department faculty and oversees its programs.
1) The department faculty consists of all persons occupying full-time teaching positions (including visiting and term positions) and persons occupying lecturer positions assigned to the department.
2) The department faculty may invite members of the professional staff and part-time faculty who contribute to department programs to participate in decisions on curriculum and policy matters.
d. Each cross-disciplinary program is administered by a program coordinator who leads a steering committee of faculty members.
3) The Steering Committee for a cross-disciplinary program may consist of all faculty members who are affiliated with that program or may be an elected subgroup of affiliated faculty.
4) The program faculty may invite members of the professional staff and part-time faculty who contribute to the program to participate in decisions on curriculum and policy matters.
C. Department Chairs and Program Coordinators
1. The Responsibilities of the Department Chair and Program Coordinators
a. Department chairs and program coordinators provide leadership for their programs, coordinate and evaluate the work of the faculty and staff in their programs and represent their programs' interests in the College and beyond.
2. Appointment procedures for Department Chairs and Program Coordinators
a. The department chair or program coordinator must be a full-time faculty member and should be a tenured member of the program at the time of appointment to the position.
b. When a department chair or coordinator vacancy is anticipated, program faculty shall meet to discuss their needs and elect a candidate whose name will be forwarded to the Dean of Faculty for consideration.
c. The Dean of Faculty shall either make the appointment or meet with the department to resolve differences.
d. In case a chair or coordinator becomes unable, unwilling, or is found to be unfit to serve a full term of appointment, the Dean of Faculty will initiate the selection of a new chair or coordinator.
e. The typical term for a chair or coordinator is four years, which may be renewed following the procedure outlined above. Chairs and coordinators remain on a ten-month faculty contract and may receive additional remuneration for the service as department chair and/or course equivalency as necessary depending on the size and /or complexity of the program.
D. Faculty
3. The faculty of St. Mary's College of Maryland shall consist of:
a. all persons occupying tenured and tenure-track positions;
b. all persons occupying lecturer, visiting, term, or adjunct positions;
c. the Associate Deans of Faculty who are appointed from the faculty;
d. the Associate Vice President for Academic affairs who is appointed from the faculty;
e. the Dean of Faculty;
f. the President;
4. Academic Decision-Making
a. To exercise its responsibility, the faculty formulates recommendations through the academic decision-making procedures specified in these bylaws.
b. When a faculty recommendation has been finalized, it is transmitted to the Dean of Faculty for action. The Dean of Faculty will either act upon the recommendation or recommend a different course of action to the President.
c. The President, in turn, may either act upon the Dean of Faculty's recommendation or recommend a different course of action to the Board of Trustees.
d. Actions or recommendations of the Dean of Faculty that are adverse to the faculty's recommendation may be appealed by the Faculty Senate to the President; actions or recommendations of the President that are adverse to the faculty's recommendation may be appealed by the Faculty Senate to the Board of Trustees.
5. Responsibility of the Faculty
a. The faculty has primary responsibility for recommendations on the academic affairs of the College through the delegated functions of formulating policy proposals and recommending courses of action to the Dean of Faculty who will recommend a course of action to the President of St. Mary's College of Maryland. This responsibility shall include, but not be limited to:
1) The academic objectives of the College;
2) The curriculum, including the subject matter and methods of instruction;
3) The standards and policies governing admission to the College, graduation from the College, and continuing matriculation at the College;
4) The recruitment, evaluation, and development of the faculty;
5) The long-range academic development of the College;
6) The allocation of educational resources; and
7) Those aspects of student life that are related to the educational process.
b. On matters that are the primary responsibility of the faculty, including those enumerated above, the Dean of Faculty is given deference. The power of review or final decision, however, is lodged in the Board of Trustees or delegated by it to the President in all circumstances. Reasons for any decisions of the President and/or the Board that are adverse to faculty recommendations shall be communicated to the faculty.
4. Faculty Appointment Procedures
a. General
1) Most faculty positions are awarded to an academic department, but positions can also be awarded to a cross-disciplinary program or awarded jointly. For positions in which a faculty member is appointed jointly to two or more academic programs (departments and/or cross-disciplinary programs), hiring procedures shall adhere to those specified for positions within a single program unless otherwise specified in these Bylaws.
2) The Dean of Faculty will call for faculty line requests periodically, usually on an annual basis. The Academic Planning Committee will recommend allocation of faculty line positions to the Faculty Senate, which will make its recommendation to the Dean of Faculty.
3) Each full-time faculty member at St. Mary's College of Maryland will serve in one of the four ranks: professor, associate professor, assistant professor, instructor. Each lecturer will serve in one of three ranks: lecturer, senior lecturer, master lecturer. It is expected that most faculty members will be either on tenured or on tenure-track appointments with contracts appropriate to their rank.
4) Appointment of full-time faculty members shall be made by the President.
5) Special appointments of faculty members may be made between Board meetings by electronic mail ballot or by specific authorization to the President to fill a particular vacancy.
6) As outlined above, this policy does not apply to appointment of non-tenure track positions.
b. Search and Hiring Procedures for Full-Time Teaching Faculty
7) The search committee for a position assigned to a single academic program shall typically be composed of the program chair or coordinator, one or two faculty members selected by the program faculty, and one faculty member from outside the program. The chair of the committee shall normally be the program chair or coordinator. For positions designed to be joint appointments, the search committee shall be composed of each program chair or coordinator, one faculty member elected by the faculty within each program, and one faculty member from outside the programs. The chair of the search committee shall normally be one of the program chairs or coordinators, chosen by the Dean of Faculty.
8) After conducting its search and consulting with program faculty, the search committee will make a recommendation, which the chair will communicate to the Dean of Faculty who, in turn, will make a recommendation to the President. In the event that the Dean of Faculty or the President does not concur with the recommendation of the search committee, the search committee will meet with the Dean of Faculty or the President and then make another recommendation.
9) Faculty contracts are extended by the President on the basis of recommendations by the department chair and the Dean of Faculty. The President informs the Board of Trustees of all successful hires .
10) Each appointment of a faculty member is validated by a formal written contract between the appointee and the College.
11) The contract will state the rank, salary, length of agreement, and other considerations of appointment. In the case of a joint appointment, the contract will clearly state the expectations for work within each program and the means by which the faculty member will be evaluated. A memorandum of understanding (MOU) may be used to provide details of the appointment. In such cases the MOU shall be agreed to and signed by the appointee, each program chair or coordinator, and the Dean of Faculty. The conditions of the MOU must be consistent with the Faculty Bylaws. A copy of the contract is presented to each prospective faculty member prior to acceptance of the offered appointment.
12) The contract will be in the possession of both the College and the appointee before the appointment is consummated.
13) The signed acceptance of the offered contract constitutes an obligation of the appointee to be bound by all terms of the contract. The signature of the President of the College will signify the institution's commitment to the contract.
14) The appointment is made upon the recommendation of the Dean of Faculty to the President and the President to the Board of Trustees.
c. Appointment Types
15) Temporary appointments (Non-Lecturer)
a) The rank of temporary faculty members will be determined using the same criteria for appointing tenured or tenure-track faculty to a rank, with the exception of criteria for service to the College.
b) Visiting appointments are typically full-time appointments made to replace faculty on leave or when the College has been unable to fill a tenure-track or a tenured position. Normally, a faculty member will serve in a visiting capacity for one to three years.
c) Term appointments are typically one- or three-year full-time appointments that may be renewed for a total stay at the College normally not to exceed six years. Term appointments are made for positions that are not suited for a tenure-track appointment or where it is undesirable to make a tenure-track appointment due to current staffing patterns or expected enrollment or program changes.
d) Adjunct faculty typically teach part-time, offering expertise to supplement the available expertise of the program faculty. Adjunct appointments are made as needed, typically one semester at a time.
16) Lecturer Appointments
a) The Lecturer title series is reserved for instructional positions with an increased emphasis on teaching. Positions within the Lecturer title series carry modest expectations of scholarly activity directed at academic and professional currency. Modest service to the College beyond basic departmental citizenship is valued but not required for these positions.
b) Faculty employed within the Lecturer title series are not eligible for tenure regardless of their length of service to the College. In the event that a faculty member in the Lecturer series applies for and accepts a tenure-track faculty
position, time spent in the Lecturer title series shall not be counted toward any portion of a tenure-track probationary period.
c) Lecturer appointments must be at least half-time and are 10-month appointments. All appointment policies are expressed in terms of full-time equivalent years of service. Summer teaching assignments (unless in lieu of academic-year teaching assignments) and overload assignments do not count toward full-time equivalent years of service. One academic year of full employment is considered 1.0 fulltime equivalent years of service.
17) Tenure-Track and Tenured Appointments
a) Tenure track. A person appointed to a tenure-track position receives probationary employment that may lead to tenure, subject to the procedures, guidelines, and standards described in these bylaws.
b) Tenured. Persons who have been granted tenure may expect continuous employment at St. Mary's College of Maryland subject to the conditions outlined below in dismissal for cause (Section IV.L.).
18) Emeritus and Emerita Rank
a) The rank of Professor Emeritus or Professor Emerita (hereafter referred to as Professor Emerit) is a distinct honor that may be conferred only upon those faculty members who retire from the College, after having distinguished themselves through sustained high quality of teaching and service to students, scholarly achievement, and service to the College. Faculty are eligible for nomination for Emerit status if they have served at least ten years at St. Mary's College and have attained the rank of Professor by the time of retirement. The rank exists to recognize outstanding accomplishment and loyalty to the College and to provide the opportunity for continuing institutional affiliation after formal retirement.
b) The Board of Trustees may waive these criteria to permit the awarding of Emerit rank to faculty members of distinguished achievement.
c) The following procedures shall be followed in awarding the Emerit rank to faculty members of distinguished achievement:
i) A candidate for Emerit rank must be recommended by a majority of the full-time teaching faculty members of the department. The recommendation is forwarded, in writing and with supporting reasons, to the Dean of Faculty.
ii) The Dean of Faculty makes a recommendation to the President who, in turn, makes a recommendation to the Board of Trustees for the appointment.
d) The following privileges shall accrue to holders of the rank of Professor Emerit:
i) They, their spouses and dependents shall have full access to the physical facilities of the College, consistent with the privileges of a full-time faculty member.
ii) They shall be entitled to access to campus information technology infrastructure including electronic mail and campus network access.
iii) Whenever possible, they shall be provided with office space.
iv) They shall be entitled to a mailbox in an appropriate office and the same mailing privileges for professional correspondence as a full-time faculty member.
v) They shall have access to secretarial services on a time-available basis, as determined by the Dean of Faculty.
vi) They, their spouses and dependents shall receive the same discount for all College events and productions as received by full-time faculty members.
vii) They shall be entitled to use the name of the College as an institutional affiliation on grant proposals, fellowship applications, publications, exhibits, etc., subject to the same restrictions and regulations as for fulltime faculty members.
viii) They may be invited to serve in an advisory capacity on College committees, at the discretion of the Dean of Faculty or the President.
III. Organization of the Faculty for Governance
A. General Organization of the Faculty
1. For purposes of considering, evaluating, and recommending proposals for policies and programs commensurate with the responsibility of the whole faculty, the faculty is organized into standing committees and ad hoc committees.
2. A Faculty Senate serves as the executive committee of the faculty.
B. Process for Policy and Program Proposals
3. The faculty relies on faculty committees to consider proposals within their areas of responsibility. In considering proposed policies, the committees are to consult thoroughly with all interested persons in the College community.
4. All policy proposals of faculty committees must be considered and acted upon by the Faculty Senate and, where appropriate, by the faculty. However, as a general rule, neither the Faculty Senate nor the faculty should undertake extensive modifications of committee recommendations. Instead, if the Faculty Senate or the faculty conclude that extensive modifications of committee recommendations are necessary, the proposals should be returned to the appropriate committee for further consideration.
5. When the faculty recommendation has been formulated and approved by the Faculty Senate or the faculty, that recommendation will be forwarded to the Dean of Faculty. The Dean of Faculty will either act upon the recommendation or recommend a course of action to the President of the College. The President, in turn, may either act upon the Dean of Faculty's recommendation or recommend a course of action to the Board of Trustees. Actions or recommendations of the Dean of Faculty which are adverse to the faculty's recommendation may be appealed by the Faculty Senate to the President of the College; actions or recommendations of the President which are adverse to the faculty's recommendation may be appealed by the Faculty Senate to the Board of Trustees.
C. The Faculty Senate
6. Duties
a. The Faculty Senate shall serve as the executive committee of the faculty, and the Faculty Senate President and Vice President shall represent the faculty in deliberations with officers of the College and the Board of Trustees. The Faculty Senate provides for the participation of the faculty in committee assignments and other appointments. It shall have the authority to establish ad hoc committees for particular purposes, but all such committees shall be of limited duration. The Faculty Senate receives reports from all committees of the faculty, from such ad hoc committees as it may establish, and from College officers responsible for the administration of academic support programs. In
consequence of these reports, the Faculty Senate will determine the appropriate course of action.
b. The Faculty Senate shall advise the President of the Faculty Senate on matters to be included in the agenda for faculty meetings.
c. The Faculty Senate shall have the power to interpret these bylaws consistent with the College Bylaws and the Education Article of the Maryland Code Annotated, Section 14401, et seq
7. Membership
a. Full-time faculty members who do not hold full-time administrative positions and who have served at least two years on a tenured or tenure-track appointment at the College are eligible to serve on the Faculty Senate. Program chairs normally are not eligible to serve on Faculty Senate. The Faculty Senate shall consist of the following members:
1) Faculty Senate President.
2) Faculty Senate Vice President.
3) Faculty Senate Secretary.
4) One senator shall be elected from each division by simple majority of the faculty members in that division.
5) Two senators elected at-large by the faculty.
b. If no pre-tenure faculty member is elected to serve on the Faculty Senate by the divisions, one of the at-large senator positions shall be designated for a pre-tenure faculty person.
c. In addition to the senators, the Dean of Faculty and/or a designee of the Dean of Faculty will serve with the Faculty Senate, without vote. A student representative to the Faculty Senate, to serve with the Faculty Senate without vote, will be designated by the Student Government Association.
d. Executive sessions of the Faculty Senate shall consist only of voting members of the Faculty Senate, with others attending at the discretion of the Faculty Senate.
3. Election of Faculty to the Faculty Senate
a. At least one month before at-large faculty elections, the Faculty Senate will inform divisions of expiring terms on the senate and request divisional election of a senator.
b. At least one week prior to the faculty meeting scheduled for spring elections, the Faculty Senate shall distribute to the voting faculty the names of the senators elected by the divisions and the nominees received for President, Vice President, and Secretary of the Faculty Senate, at-large senators, faculty representatives to Board of Trustee committees, parliamentarian of the faculty, and at-large senate committee members.
c. At a faculty meeting to be started no earlier than halfway through the spring semester and to be completed no later than one week prior to the end of the spring semester, the faculty shall hold elections to fill any expiring terms among the Faculty Senate President, VicePresident, Secretary, at-large senators, faculty representatives to Board of Trustee committees, and parliamentarian. Nominations from the floor will be permitted for each position. Voting shall be by secret ballot if requested. A majority of those present and voting is required for election. In the absence of such a majority, the faculty will proceed immediately to a ballot between the two candidates with the largest number of votes.
d. The faculty shall elect, to fill expiring terms, in this order:
1) First, one member of the faculty is elected as President of the Faculty Senate;
2) Second, a member of the faculty is elected as Vice-President of the Faculty Senate;
3) Third, a member of the faculty is elected as Secretary of the Faculty Senate;
4) Fourth, at-large senators are elected;
5) Fifth, the faculty elects faculty representatives to the Board of Trustee committees;
6) Sixth, the parliamentarian of the faculty is elected; and
7) Finally, the at-large senate committee members are elected.
e. Unless provided for elsewhere in these bylaws, the elected members of the Faculty Senate shall serve three-year terms beginning with the start of the fall semester. The terms of the members shall be arranged so that one third are elected each year. The terms of the Faculty Senate President, Vice President, and Secretary shall be three years beginning with the start of the fall semester.
f. If it becomes necessary to fill the unexpired term of a senator to the Faculty Senate, it shall be the responsibility of the division, if a divisional senator, or the Faculty Senate, if an at-large senator, to provide for a special election within four weeks of the time the vacancy occurs.
4. The President of the Faculty Senate
a. The Faculty Senate President is elected by the faculty to serve as the executive officer of the Faculty Senate to represent the faculty to the administration and Board of Trustees.
b. Duties: As the executive officer of the Faculty Senate, the duties of the Faculty Senate President are:
1) To attend the meetings of the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees and shall participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws;
2) To preside over meetings of the faculty and to prepare and distribute the agenda for those meetings;
3) To preside over meetings of the Faculty Senate and to prepare and distribute the agenda for those meetings;
4) To coordinate faculty elections;
5) To refer matters to appropriate committees;
6) To represent the faculty in meetings of administrative councils;
7) To serve as the faculty representative to the Board of Trustees; and
8) To attend meetings of the Program Chairs.
c. Vacancy. If it becomes necessary to fill the unexpired term of the Faculty Senate President, it shall be the responsibility of the Faculty Senate to provide for a special election within four weeks of the time the vacancy occurs.
d. Compensation. During each semester in office, the Faculty Senate President shall receive a reduction of teaching responsibilities equivalent to one four-credit course.
5. Vice President of the Faculty Senate
a. Duties
1) To assume the duties of the Faculty Senate President in the absence of the Faculty Senate President; and
2) To attend the meetings of the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees and shall participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws.
b. Vacancy. If it becomes necessary to fill the unexpired term of the Faculty Senate Vice President, it shall be the responsibility of the Faculty Senate to provide for a special election within four weeks of the time the vacancy occurs.
6. Secretary of the Faculty Senate
a. Duties
1) To assume the duties of the Faculty Senate Vice President in the absence of the Faculty Senate Vice President;
2) To assist the Faculty Senate President with archiving the Faculty Senate meeting minutes;
3) To review annually the Faculty Bylaws for compliance and for necessary updates, reporting findings to the Faculty Senate; and
4) To oversee any updates to the Faculty Bylaws and their subsequent distribution and archiving.
b. Vacancy. If it becomes necessary to fill the unexpired term of the Faculty Senate Secretary, it shall be the responsibility of the Faculty Senate to provide for a special election within four weeks of the time the vacancy occurs.
7. The Faculty Representatives to the Board of Trustees Committees
a. The Faculty Representatives to Board of Trustee Committees are tenured or tenure-track faculty members elected by the faculty to advise the Dean of Faculty, to report back to the faculty the discussions and actions undertaken by the Board committee they represent, and to represent faculty interests to the Board of Trustees.
b. The faculty shall elect Faculty Representatives to Board of Trustee Committees to each serve a term of three years, beginning with the start of the fall semester.
c. If it becomes necessary to fill the unexpired term of any of the Faculty Representatives to Board of Trustee Committees, it shall be the responsibility of the Faculty Senate to provide for a special election within four weeks of the time the vacancy occurs.
d. The Faculty Finance Delegate
1) The Faculty Finance Delegate is a voting member of the Finance, Investment, and Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees per College Bylaws.
2) Duties are to report in a timely fashion to the faculty or the Faculty Senate on fiscal and budgetary matters pertaining to the academic sector; to consult with the Dean of Faculty and Vice President for Business and Chief Finance Officer on fiscal and budgetary matters, and to attend the meetings of the Finance, Investment, and Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees and participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws.
e. The Admission and Financial Aid Faculty Representative
3) Duties are to report in a timely fashion to the faculty or the Faculty Senate on matters relating admission and enrollment; to consult with the Dean of Faculty and the Vice President for Enrollment Management on standards for admission, scholarships, and size and diversity of the entering class, and to attend the meetings of the Admission and Financial Aid Committee of the Board of Trustees and participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws.
f. The Technology, Buildings, and Grounds Faculty Representative
4) Duties are to report in a timely fashion to the faculty or the Faculty Senate on matters relating to academic facilities and resources including buildings, computing, and library services; to advise the Dean of Faculty and Vice President for Business and Chief Finance Officer on facilities and resources, and to attend the meetings of the Technology, Buildings, and Grounds Committee of the Board of Trustees and participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws.
g. The Campus Life Faculty Representative
5) Duties are to report in a timely fashion to the faculty or the Faculty Senate on matters pertaining to student life; to advise the Dean of Faculty, Vice President for Equity and Strategic Initiatives, and the Vice President for Student Affairs on campus life matters, and to attend the meetings of the Campus Life Committee of
the Board of Trustees and participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws.
h. The Institutional Advancement Faculty Representative
6) Duties are to report in a timely fashion to the faculty or the Faculty Senate on matters pertaining to fundraising and marketing; to advise the Dean of Faculty and the Vice President for Advancement on matters related to institutional advancement, and to attend the meetings of the Institutional Advancement Committee of the Board of Trustees and participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws.
i. The Academic Affairs Faculty Representative
7) The President of the Faculty Senate serves as the Faculty Representative to the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees.
8) Duties are to report in a timely fashion to the faculty or the Faculty Senate on matters pertaining to academic affairs via written and verbal report; to advise the Dean of Faculty on academic matters, and to attend the meetings of the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees and participate in the manner prescribed by the College Bylaws.
8. Parliamentarian of the Faculty
a. The faculty shall elect a parliamentarian to serve a three-year term, beginning with the start of the fall semester.
b. Duties
1) To advise the person presiding at meetings of the faculty on the correct parliamentary procedure; and
2) To advise the Faculty Senate President, or other members of the faculty, on issues of parliamentary procedure.
c. Vacancy. If it becomes necessary to fill the unexpired term of the parliamentarian of the faculty, it shall be the responsibility of the Faculty Senate to provide for a special election within four weeks of the time the vacancy occurs.
9. Faculty Committee Structure
a. General Provisions
1) Membership on standing committees of the faculty shall be restricted to tenured and tenure-track faculty who are full-time teaching faculty. Unless otherwise specified, faculty members may serve on no more than one standing committee at a time.
2) Membership on standing committees shall be elected by the division, if a divisional representative, or by the faculty, if an at-large representative.
3) Unless otherwise specified, appointments to all committees are for three years, renewable for a second term for a maximum of six years of continuous service on a particular committee. The terms of faculty members on all committees, where possible, should be staggered.
4) Any vacancies that occur in a committee's membership shall be elected by the body that originally elected that committee member. For the purpose of calculating continuous years of service on a particular committee, the completion of an unexpired term is not counted as one of the two terms of service for the faculty member completing the term.
5) Terms of service on faculty committees begin at the start of the fall semester. The Faculty Senate will designate one committee member to convene the first meeting of the committee, at which time a chair will be elected. A quorum for the purpose of
doing business shall consist of a majority of the voting members, exclusive of student members.
6) Administrative representation to appropriate committees is provided for in these bylaws and generally will occur after consultation of the Faculty Senate and the Dean of Faculty. Unless otherwise provided for, these representatives do not vote and shall not be counted in determining quorums.
7) Student representation to appropriate committees as provided for in these bylaws. The Student Government Association nominates these representatives. Student members shall not be counted in determining quorums.
8) College officers who wish faculty representation on special administrative committees should seek the advice of the Faculty Senate.
b. Types of Committees
9) Ad Hoc Committees. Ad hoc faculty committees may be established from time to time by the Faculty Senate to consider specific issues that do not clearly fall under the jurisdiction of any committee as established by these bylaws. These committees will exist for clearly defined times.
10) Standing Committees. Standing committees make recommendations regarding programs of the faculty within their area of jurisdiction. All standing committees of the faculty shall be responsible to the Faculty Senate; their recommendations with supporting information shall be conveyed in writing by the committee chair.
c. All proposals for committee action shall be submitted by the Faculty Senate in writing to the appropriate committee chairperson.
10. Faculty Senate Committees
a. The following are the standing committees of the Faculty Senate:
1) Academic Planning Committee
2) Academic Policy Committee
3) Curriculum Review Committee
4) Faculty Issues Committee
5) Faculty Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity Committee
6) Teaching and Assessment Committee
b. Academic Planning Committee
7) For issues related to traditional academic planning matters such as allocation of academic lines, the Academic Planning Committee will be constituted.
8) The committee membership shall consist of:
a) one faculty member elected by each division, at least one of whom shall be a pretenure faculty member.
b) an at-large faculty member may also be elected if deemed necessary by the Faculty Senate.
c) the Dean of Faculty or their designee serves with the committee without vote.
9) Functions of the Academic Planning committee
a) to analyze the existing state of undergraduate and post-baccalaureate education both internal and external to the College, and project trends
b) to review plans for the development of the academic program of the College, evaluate progress in meeting the objectives of such plans, and consider the implications, financial and otherwise, of various strategies for development
c) to recommend to the Dean of Faculty and senate the allocation of faculty lines and faculty support positions
d) to review the progress of all developing academic programs, new academic initiatives, and results of external program reviews
e) to recommend actions to the Dean of Faculty and report their recommendations to the Faculty Senate.
c. Academic Policy Committee
10) Membership
a) one faculty member elected by each division.
b) an at-large faculty member may also be elected if deemed necessary by the Faculty Senate.
c) one student, appointed by the Faculty Senate, serves on the committee with vote.
d) the Registrar of the College or their representative serves with the committee without vote.
e) the Dean of Faculty or their designee serves with the committee without vote.
11) Functions
a) To review and recommend to the Faculty Senate academic policies for all courses and programs at the College offered on or off campus during the regular terms and the summer term. Specifically, the areas of responsibility include the following policy matters: calendar and schedules; academic advising; class attendance; examinations; academic probation and dismissal; class status; transfer, advanced placement, and credit by examination; graduation with honors; and academic dishonesty.
b) To review all cases of an academic nature for which policy has not been stated and to make recommendations to the Faculty Senate
c) To participate in the review of all cases of academic dismissal, alongside relevant staff members, and make recommendations to the Dean of Faculty or their representative.
d) To review student requests for exceptions to degree requirements or other academic policies and make recommendations to the Dean of Faculty or their representative.
d. Curriculum Review Committee
12) Membership
a) one faculty member elected by each division.
b) an at-large faculty member may also be elected if deemed necessary by the Faculty Senate.
c) one student, appointed by the Faculty Senate, serves on the committee with vote.
d) the Registrar of the College or their representative serves with the committee without vote.
13) Functions
a) To review proposed additions, deletions, and changes to the curricular requirements and course offerings of all components of the academic program of the College, and to make recommendations for action to the Faculty Senate
b) To review the course offerings and curricular requirements of all proposed academic programs of the College, and of existing programs of the College as the need arises, and to make recommendations to the Faculty Senate
c) To periodically review curricular requirements and assessment procedures and outcomes for all academic programs of the College
d) To review and approve proposed student-designed majors.
e) To establish and publish deadline dates and procedures for the submission of proposed revisions or additions to the curriculum of the College
e. Faculty Issues Committee
14) Membership
a) one faculty member elected by each division.
b) an at-large faculty member may also be elected if deemed necessary by the Faculty Senate.
c) the Dean of Faculty or their representative serves with the committee without vote.
15) Functions
a) To review policies related to faculty hiring, promotion and tenure. This is not evaluative but rather a review of the policies surrounding the hiring, promotion and tenure processes.
b) To review standards, policies, and guidelines related to compensation including merit evaluation, equity adjustment, benefits and retirement.
c) To periodically review all policies related to faculty and comparison to peer institutions.
f. Faculty Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity Committee
16) Membership
a) one faculty member elected by each division.
b) the Dean of Faculty or their representative serves with the committee without vote.
17) Functions
a) To review College policies and practices that impact the recruitment, support, and retention of historically underrepresented faculty and to recommend changes and develop policies for improvement; and to collaborate with the Vice President for Equity and Strategic Initiatives as well as various committees, offices, administrators, departments, and programs to address inclusion, diversity, and equity issues of importance to faculty.
g. Teaching and Assessment Committee
18) Membership
a) one faculty member elected by each division.
b) the Dean of Faculty or their representative serves with the committee without vote.
19) Functions
a) To review policies and practices related to the assessment of student learning within the academic programs and to recommend changes for improvement; and to collaborate with Academic Affairs on the collection and dissemination of student learning outcomes assessment data in order to improve teaching and learning.
D. Meetings
1. Individuals Eligible to Vote
a. all persons occupying tenured, tenure-track, lecturer, or full-time temporary appointments
b. Associate Deans who are appointed from the faculty
c. Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs who is appointed from the faculty
d. Dean of Faculty of the College
e. President of the College
f. all full-time college employees teaching at least four credit hours in the current semester.
2. Meetings of the Faculty and Faculty Senate
a. Rules of Procedure
1) Meetings of all groups formed under these bylaws shall be open to all members of the College community. However, any such group may convene executive sessions subject to the State of Maryland open meeting law.
2) All meetings held under these bylaws shall be governed by the procedures described in Robert's Rules of Order, Revised.
b. Faculty Meetings
3) The faculty shall meet at least once each semester.
4) The president of the Faculty Senate will coordinate:
a) approval of meeting schedules and agendas by the Faculty Senate.
b) communicating the agenda to the faculty so that faculty members shall receive written notice of a faculty meeting and an agenda for the meeting not later than three full working days prior to the day scheduled for the meeting.
c) compilation, in consultation with the department chairs, and approval of the voting list at the first faculty meeting of each semester.
5) Upon the request of at least twenty percent of the voting faculty, the president of the Faculty Senate shall call a faculty meeting not later than one week from the submission of the petition.
6) A quorum for doing business in program, division, and faculty meetings shall consist of a number of voting faculty exceeding fifty percent of the total number of voting faculty members excluding visiting or part-time faculty and those faculty members on sabbatical leaves or other leaves of absence.
7) By a two-thirds vote, the faculty or the Faculty Senate may authorize an electronic mail ballot on unresolved motions before the faculty. For a motion to pass on an electronic mail ballot, a simple majority of affirmative votes must be counted from a number of ballots exceeding fifty percent of the total number of faculty counting toward a quorum.
c. Faculty Senate Meetings
8) Meetings of the Faculty Senate shall be held regularly and at least once each month during the academic year.
9) There shall be a Faculty Senate meeting within one week of the beginning of each semester at which the time of the regular Senate meetings for the following semester shall be decided. The time of the regular Senate meetings shall be announced to the College community well in advance of the semester to allow for planning.
10) Faculty members shall receive written notice of each senate meeting and the agenda of items for that meeting not later than the last working day before the day of the meeting. Faculty Senate meeting minutes shall be approved by the Faculty Senate and distributed to the faculty in a timely manner after the Senate meetings.
11) Special Faculty Senate meetings shall be held at the request of at least two members of the senate or of twenty percent of the faculty not later than three working days after the submission of the request.
12) A quorum shall consist of two-thirds of the elected members of the Faculty Senate.
13) A majority of the senate members present and voting shall be required for Faculty Senate action on all matters.
d. Approval of Faculty Senate Actions by the Faculty
14) All matters of major importance shall be brought by the Faculty Senate before the faculty and decided by the faculty. The Faculty Senate may refer any of its actions to the faculty as it deems appropriate.
15) The Faculty Senate may act for the faculty on other matters.
16) When a faculty meeting cannot reasonably be convened, and action by the faculty is urgently required, the senate may act for the faculty. Such Faculty Senate action shall be communicated to the faculty and may be reviewed by the faculty as soon as a faculty meeting can be held.
17) Faculty approval of Faculty Senate actions shall be assumed if no request for faculty review is received by the Faculty Senate President within five working days of the distribution of the minutes of the Faculty Senate meeting in which the action was taken. Upon request of at least twenty percent of the faculty or of one third of the members of the Faculty Senate, the Faculty Senate action shall be brought before the faculty and decided by the faculty.

## IV. Bylaws Governing Tenure-Track Faculty Appointments, Evaluations, and Tenure

A. Preface

1. St. Mary's College of Maryland has as its primary mission to provide students an education in the liberal arts and sciences comparable to that found at the best institutions of its kind. The standards by which faculty members will be judged reflect this mission. The evaluation of faculty should support the welfare and goals of both the individual and the institution.
2. In the process of evaluating faculty members the standards described below shall be used. These standards are neither rigid nor formulaic in nature. They support the right of each faculty member to develop as a teacher-scholar.
B. Minimum Criteria for Appointing Faculty to a Rank
3. Expectations regarding teaching ability, professional achievement, and service to the College will vary from rank to rank. The higher the rank, the higher the level of expected proficiency. Meeting the following criteria for rank appointment is a necessary but not always a sufficient basis for appointment. When it is in the best interest of the College, any of the standards listed below may be waived to permit the appointment of individuals of distinguished achievement.
4. Instructor
a. Substantial progress towards a doctorate or other appropriate terminal degree
b. Previous teaching experience
c. Strong evidence of potential for growth as a teacher and a scholar
5. Assistant Professor
a. A doctorate or other appropriate terminal degree
b. Previous teaching experience
c. Strong evidence of potential for growth as a teacher and scholar
6. Associate Professor
a. A doctorate or other appropriate terminal degree
b. Completion of five years of successful teaching in a tenure track position at the college level
c. Excellence as a teacher
d. Scholarly and creative achievements that have received recognition from professional peers beyond the campus
e. Significant, high quality service to the College
7. Professor
a. A doctorate or other appropriate terminal degree
b. Completion of at least five years at the rank of associate professor
c. Demonstration of continued excellence as a teacher
d. Further scholarly and creative achievement
e. Superior service to the College, preferably in leadership roles
C. Conditions for Tenure-Track and Tenured Appointments
8. Tenure is the assurance to experienced faculty members that they may expect to continue in their academic position unless adequate cause for dismissal is demonstrated in a fair hearing following the procedures established in these bylaws. Tenure may be granted by the Board of Trustees to faculty members after the expiration of their probationary period or at the time of appointment to faculty status.
9. Tenure terminates with the retirement or resignation of the faculty member, when the faculty member is dismissed for cause after due process, or when the position is eliminated under extraordinary circumstances such as financial exigency or discontinuance of a program or department of instruction. Termination of tenured faculty members because of financial exigency should be declared and demonstrably bona fide. The determination that it is in the best interest of the College to discontinue a program or department shall be made following a review by the faculty, via the Faculty Senate, the administration, via the President, or the Board of Trustees, via the Academic Affairs Committee. Any proposal to eliminate a program or department will require input from all three sectors. The final decision, however, is lodged in the Board of Trustees. Reasons for any decisions of the Board that are adverse to faculty recommendations shall be communicated to the faculty. Tenured faculty in academic programs that are discontinued may be offered an opportunity to fill positions available in other programs at the College if they qualify for those positions.
10. Probationary Pre-tenure Period
a. During the probationary period, a faculty member should have the academic freedom, as described in Section I. C., that all other members of the faculty have.
b. Faculty members who are appointed to tenure-track positions at St. Mary‘s College of Maryland shall serve a probationary period according to the schedule and subject to the conditions listed below.
c. The initial appointment is for a period of three years.
d. The evaluation of a faculty member for renewal of the initial appointment takes place during the fifth semester. A notice that the appointment will or will not be renewed must be given in writing by the President of the College no later than five months before the expiration of the contract. If a faculty member has not received timely notice concerning the non-renewal of appointment, the person is offered a one-year contract extension prior to its expiration.
e. The second and final probationary appointment is for a period of three years. Prior to expiration of this contract, the faculty member shall receive a written communication by the President of the College that informs the person that either 1) tenure has been granted, or 2) the appointment terminates with the expiration of the contract. A faculty member denied tenure would then be offered a one-year contract extension prior to its expiration.
f. The probationary period is not to exceed six years, except under the following circumstances:
1) Automatic one-year extensions are granted for parental caregiving within the first year after childbirth or adoption of a minor child. This automatic extension will be
applied by the Dean of Faculty upon notification by the faculty member of a qualifying event. No more than two automatic extensions will be granted for this purpose. Faculty members may waive this automatic extension by submitting a written statement to the Dean of Faculty specifying that they wish to waive the extension.
2) Extensions may be requested for personal or medical reasons such as elder care, personal illness, care of an ill family member, or unique professional opportunities such as fellowships or special assignments. Such extensions will be requested by the faculty member to the program chair or coordinator and Dean of Faculty and will typically extend the probationary period by one year.
3) Such extensions shall not change the standards for review.
4) For faculty members with substantial prior teaching or other appropriate experience the probationary period may be shortened at the time of the initial appointment by mutual agreement between the Dean of Faculty and the faculty member in consultation with the program chair or coordinator. This agreement will be formalized in the faculty member's contract.
3. Appointments to Tenure Without a Probationary Period
a. If a person is considered for the granting of tenure without a probationary period, the program chair or coordinator must write a letter of recommendation for the College Evaluation Committee. In the case of a joint appointment, the chair or coordinator of each program must write a letter of recommendation. Then the person's credentials shall be reviewed by the College Evaluation Committee, which will make a recommendation to the Dean of Faculty, who will recommend to the President, who will recommend to the Board before tenure is offered.
4. Institutional Factors that Influence Award of Tenure
a. The College must seek to maintain balance in its faculty and to preserve flexibility to adjust its programs to the needs of a changing environment. The decision to grant tenure is of such fundamental importance that the Dean of Faculty, President or board committees involved in the faculty evaluation process must be accorded reasonable latitude consistent with academic freedom, equal opportunity, and standards of fairness.
b. A tenure-track appointment is made when institutional projections, arrived at by the Dean of Faculty in consultation with the appropriate program chair or coordinator, show that it is probable that the appointment can lead to tenure primarily on the basis of meritorious performance. Occasionally the institutional interest may require that a tenure-track appointment be made if there is a reasonable possibility, rather than a probability, that the appointment can lead to tenure. In such a case, the candidate for the position will be informed in writing of the situation before the appointment is made.
c. Serious financial or enrollment shortfalls or changing educational or curricular needs may have a bearing on the awarding of tenure appointments. The College will make every effort to identify such factors and avoid them. In such cases when such exigency is unavoidable, the College will notify any affected faculty members of them as soon as possible and, barring an imminent and significant reduction of College resources, no later than two years before the decision of whether to grant tenure is scheduled to take place.
d. When a situation arises where there are such institutional grounds (other than the circumstances described in section IV. K. of current Bylaws) to eliminate or convert an occupied tenure-track position to a term position, the Dean of Faculty will present the case to the tenured members of the affected program for their advice. If the Dean of

Faculty then judges that the appointment should not be renewed, the Dean of Faculty will proceed to inform the faculty member without delay and make a recommendation against renewal to the President. Any recommendation against renewal of an appointment for such reasons shall be reviewed by the Faculty Senate, which will make its own recommendation to the President. The final decision is made by the Board of Trustees following the recommendation of the President. The faculty member will have the right to serve out the term of the contract.
D. Standards for Evaluating Tenure-Track Faculty for Pre-Tenure Contract Renewal, Tenure, Promotion, and Post-Tenure Review

1. The three basic criteria applied to faculty evaluation are excellence in teaching; scholarly, professional and/or creative achievement; and service to the College and the wider community. Teaching of high quality and the maintenance of excellence and competence in the classroom are, however, of greatest importance and cannot be replaced by high achievement in the other areas.
2. The following definitions and guidelines are used in the evaluation of faculty.
a. Excellence in teaching
1) St. Mary's College of Maryland expects its faculty members to be excellent teachers. While many different teaching styles can lead to excellence in teaching, these are some common elements that characterize excellent teachers. Excellent teachers should:
a) show respect for all students and concern for their intellectual development.
b) have broad and deep knowledge of their disciplines and how those disciplines relate to other fields.
c) demonstrate a commitment to teaching through an ongoing course development and revision process that includes articulation of clear course goals, flexibility and experimentation in approach, and critical self-reflection in the evaluation of course outcomes. That commitment should extend to the broader curricular goals of the academic programs with which they are involved.
d) have the ability to communicate effectively and encourage students to actively engage with diverse ideas both in and out of the classroom.
e) encourage students to think critically about and clearly express their ideas throughout their intellectual life.
f) set high standards that help foster both student achievement and independent thought.
g) demonstrate concern for students by providing clear expectations and fair, timely, and thorough feedback when evaluating student work.
h) Take an active and effective role in advising. Advising should include knowledge of relevant College policies and procedures, timely and accurate responses to advisee inquiries, informed guidance in course selection, knowledge of advisee interests and goals, and active discussion of post-graduation academic and career decisions.
b. Professional, scholarly, and/or creative achievement
2) All faculty are expected to be professionally active and to keep abreast of developments within their field. Professional achievements contribute to the intellectual development of the person and enhance their teaching in the field. To further these teaching goals, the involvement of students in these professional
activities is encouraged where possible. Professional work that extends the availability of the discipline to a wider audience is also valued.
3) Professional, scholarly, and creative work should receive recognition from professional peers beyond the campus. Such recognition may consist of the publication of articles by recognized professional, peer reviewed journals or by respected national journals, magazines, or newspapers, or in the publication of books of an intellectual nature by reputable publishers. It may also consist of the presentation of artistic, literary, dramatic, or musical creations or performances in galleries and theaters and reviews of these artistic, literary, dramatic, or musical creations or performances by respected critics in leading publications. Other forms of recognition exist and may be used, as appropriate, to evaluate the individual faculty member's scholarship.
c. Service to the College and the Community
4) As part of their service to the College, faculty members are expected to be active participants in the life of the College. Faculty members are expected to conduct themselves professionally and to accept their fair share of the department's and College‘s administrative workload. In addition, faculty members may contribute to the administration of cross-disciplinary study areas. Because such work functions to further the mission of the College as a whole, departments have the responsibility to consider it as equally important as departmental administration. Faculty members should contribute to the well-being of the College and its students and personnel by participating in activities and programs that improve the functioning of the institution and enhance life at the College.
5) Service activities may include the following:
a) Service on standing or ad hoc committees of the College and/or the Faculty Senate.
b) Participation in the formulation of courses and programs.
c) Participation in institutional self-study and evaluation programs.
d) Participation in recruitment of faculty.
e) Organization of, or active participation in, programs that enhance the cultural or intellectual life at the College or in the wider community.
f) Organization of, or active participation in, social, charitable, or educational programs at the College or in the wider community.
g) Work in alumni relations, student recruitment, and College advancement.
h) Contributions to extracurricular programs or activities that enhance student development.
i) Work involved in international programs and study abroad.
E. Expected Achievements of Faculty at Various Evaluations
1. Pre-tenure Contract Renewal
a. At the time of this evaluation, the faculty member should have made significant progress towards achieving excellence in teaching. It is also expected that the faculty member will have begun to implement a program of scholarly, professional and/or creative activities and service to the College. Although the faculty member will be evaluated in all three areas, primary importance will be given to the person's teaching. Persons who are not professionally competent or who fail to demonstrate continued significant progress towards teaching excellence, professional activity and service should not be reappointed.

Faculty members who have not completed the work for the appropriate terminal degree generally will not be reappointed.
2. Tenure and Promotion to Associate Professor
a. In this evaluation the faculty member's teaching; professional, scholarly, and/or creative achievements; and service to the College will be assessed. For the granting of tenure, the faculty member must be an excellent teacher. Scholarly and creative accomplishments must be of high quality and recognized by professional peers beyond the campus. Service to the College must be of high quality. All achievements must be consistent with the expectations by the College spelled out in the pre-tenure evaluations.
3. Promotion to Professor
a. Tenured faculty members are expected to continue to develop as teachers, scholars, and members of the college community throughout their careers. For promotion to professor, the faculty member must continue to be an excellent teacher and demonstrate continuing high-quality professional activity recognized outside the College. Service to the College should be of superior quality and include leadership roles. The faculty member's progress should be consistent with the expectations by the College spelled out in earlier evaluations.
F. The Evaluation Process for Pre-Tenure Contract Renewal, Tenure, and Promotion of Faculty

1. The faculty evaluation process is established for the evaluation of all full-time faculty members for the purposes of pre-tenure contract renewal, the awarding of tenure, and promotion to higher rank. The formal evaluation for pre-tenure, and tenured faculty is focused on an evaluation file consisting of required materials specific to the stage of evaluation and prepared by the faculty member under evaluation. Once submitted, the evaluation file is the common document reviewed and evaluated in sequence by the evaluative chain. The chain of evaluation is as follows: the program chair makes a recommendation to the College Evaluation Committee. This committee makes a recommendation to the Dean of Faculty who, in turn makes a recommendation to the President. The President recommends an action to the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees and the Board of Trustees makes the final decision.
2. Confidentiality of the Process and Conduct of Participants in the Process
a. Committees and individuals involved in the evaluation process have the right and the responsibility to conduct their deliberations without outside interference.
b. Participants in the evaluation process must observe strict confidentiality at each step. Discussions about a candidate may only involve those with direct knowledge of the content of the faculty member's file.
c. Faculty members shall be notified of all decisions that concern them and will be informed of the reasons for each decision.
d. Upon written request by a faculty member or an officer or committee involved in the evaluation process, the Faculty Senate shall investigate any allegations of violations of procedures.
3. Timetable of the Evaluation Process
a. Pre-tenure Contract Renewal
1) The following timetable applies to persons who are appointed to tenure-track positions at the entry level. For faculty members who are credited with previous teaching experience appropriate adjustments will be made as described in the appointment letter.
a) The pre-tenure evaluation will be conducted during the fifth semester of the faculty member's employment at the College.
b) The faculty member must have their evaluation file submitted to the program chair or coordinator prior to the start of their fifth semester.
c) Following the formal pre-tenure review in the third year, the program chair or coordinator will discuss with the faculty member the expectations for tenure as outlined in the program letter.
b. Tenure and Promotion to Associate Professor
2) The evaluation for granting of tenure and simultaneous promotion to associate professor will typically occur in the faculty member's twelfth semester at the College, unless an earlier time is agreed to by the Dean of Faculty or an extension has been granted. Pre-tenure sabbatical time is included in this count. Leave of absence may also be included in this count.
3) The faculty member must have their evaluation file submitted to the Program Evaluation Committee chair by a time determined by the Dean of Faculty.
c. Promotion to Professor
4) As early as the twelfth semester following receipt of tenure and promotion to associate professor, a faculty member may make application to the program chair or coordinator to be promoted to professor. This time includes any time on sabbatical from the College. This time may include paid leaves of absence.
4. The Evaluation Process
a. Review of candidates for reappointment, tenure, and promotion is conducted by the following groups and individuals, in the specified order. The specific purpose of each group or individual is to evaluate the performance of the faculty member under review, and make recommendations on pre-tenure contract renewal, tenure, or promotion.
b. The candidate is responsible for submitting the completed electronic file to the PEC chair and to the Office of the Dean of Faculty simultaneously no later than close of business on the indicated due date, or the following business day if the due date is a weekend or holiday.
c. Should the file be found to have structural problems, mechanical or permissions issues, or inaccessible content, the Office of the Dean of Faculty may communicate with the candidate to pursue corrections. If the candidate is unavailable or unresponsive to this request after two business days, the file will progress through the evaluation chain noting that deficiency, with no change to the overall timeline.
d. Should the file be found to have erroneous or unclear content, either evaluative committee may send the candidate a request for correction or clarification, simultaneously copying in the Dean of Faculty. The candidate will have one week to reply with a written response; both communications will be added to the file alongside the evaluating committee's letter.
e. Program Evaluation Committee (PEC)
1) Composition of the PEC
a) For faculty with an appointment to an academic department, the PEC comprises tenured faculty in the department who are not serving in administrative positions outside the department. Tenured members of the department who are on sabbatical in semesters when a promotion case is scheduled for review may opt to sit on, review, and vote with the PEC if they wish, but such service shall be neither compulsory nor coerced.
b) For faculty appointments within a cross-disciplinary program, the steering committee shall be responsible for forming a PEC, comprised by at least three tenured faculty affiliated with the program.
c) For joint appointments to two or more academic programs, the PEC will consist of all faculty members in each program's respective PEC.
d) The chair of the PEC shall be the chair or coordinator of the academic department or cross-disciplinary program. If the program chair or coordinator is not tenured or is standing for tenure or promotion, or in the case of joint appointments, the PEC will elect a chair from within its members.
e) A PEC will contain no fewer than three members. If a program contains fewer than three tenured faculty members eligible to serve on the PEC, the person under review shall submit the names of tenured faculty members from other programs to serve on the PEC and the Dean of Faculty shall appoint from this list.
2) Procedures for the PEC
a) It is the responsibility of members of the PEC to participate in a thorough and balanced evaluation of their colleagues. All tenured members should acquire knowledge of the candidate's teaching, through discussions about teaching with the candidate, review and discussion of teaching materials, or classroom observations. The PEC chair will collaborate with the senior faculty and the candidate to arrange pedagogical discussions or review of course materials as well as classroom visitations by members of the PEC. The PEC chair may request to schedule at least one and no more than three classroom visitations total in the semester prior to a formal review (for pre-tenure, tenure, or promotion to professor). The PEC chair and the candidate will work together to find mutually acceptable times for the visits. Faculty should also acquaint themselves with the candidate's professional work and service to the College by reviewing the candidate‘s evaluation file.
b) An evaluative meeting of the PEC will be convened to discuss the candidate's file. Voting procedures for the PEC will be as follows.
i) Voting on motions will be by secret ballot.
ii) Votes will be written, with two possible votes of either yea or nay. Any member of the PEC who is not prepared to vote yea or nay on the motion of a candidate for contract renewal or promotion should recuse themselves from the proceedings for that particular case giving at least two weeks' notice before the meeting. If a recusal will result in a number fewer than three remaining members on the PEC, the PEC will be reconstituted according to the terms specified above in the section pertaining to the Composition of the PEC. A motion to recommend renewal or promotion will pass if and only if a majority of the votes cast are in favor of the motion.
iii) At all evaluation levels, a vote shall be taken on a motion to recommend the candidate for contract renewal, tenure and promotion, or promotion as appropriate.
c) Following this evaluative meeting, the PEC chair will write a letter summarizing the results of the meeting. The letter must reflect both the majority and minority opinions of the tenured faculty, including the tally of all votes cast.
d) The letter will be circulated to all members of the PEC for review and signature.
e) The letter will be delivered to the faculty member and a copy to the Office of the Dean of Faculty.
f) The faculty member under review will have one week after receiving the PEC chair's letter to submit a written response (if desired) to that letter prior to advancement of the faculty member's file to the College Evaluation Committee. This response will be attached to the recommendation of the PEC chair and will be added to the evaluation file by the PEC chair.
g) The evaluation file will be delivered to the Office of the Dean of Faculty and the PEC chair's letter and any response to that letter will be placed in the faculty member's evaluation file.
f. College Evaluation Committee (CEC)
3) Composition of the CEC
a) The CEC shall consist of seven tenured faculty members. No two faculty members serving on this committee may have appointments in the same academic program. Members of this committee serve three-year terms with two or three members elected annually at a spring semester faculty meeting.
b) No chairs or coordinators or members of the administration may serve on this committee.
c) A faculty member may serve on this committee for no more than two consecutive terms. In the event that a member leaves the CEC, the Faculty Senate will hold an election for a replacement to serve out the unexpired term.
d) Persons under evaluation for professor must recuse themselves from the CEC before participating in any reviews for promotion to the rank of professor.
4) Procedures for the CEC
a) The CEC will review pre-tenure files in the fall semester, and files for those standing for tenure and/or promotion in the spring semester.
b) The CEC shall strictly limit its discussions regarding a faculty member standing for promotion or tenure to materials included in the evaluation file.
Unsubstantiated comments are not acceptable items for discussion. If a CEC member does communicate any information not present in the evaluation file and that may be prejudicial to the candidate's case for promotion, that person must submit this information in writing to the CEC and provide a copy to the faculty member under review. The faculty member will then be entitled to respond to this new document in writing to the CEC within one week.
c) If any member of a PEC is also serving on the CEC, he or she may not be present for discussion or vote in the CEC's evaluation of the faculty member from their program.
d) If there are any questions regarding the file the CEC may request, in writing, further clarification from the PEC. The clarifications from the PEC must be returned to the CEC in writing. The CEC will provide copies of any such written communications to the faculty member under review, as well as to the Dean of Faculty, who will be responsible for placing copies in the candidate's evaluation file.
e) Voting procedures for the CEC will be as follows.
i) At both the PEC and CEC levels, a vote shall be taken on a motion to recommend the candidate for contract renewal, tenure and promotion, or promotion to professor, as appropriate.
ii) Voting on motions will be by secret ballot.
iii) Votes will be written, with two possible votes of either yea or nay. A motion to recommend renewal or promotion will pass if and only if a majority of the votes cast are in favor of the motion.
iv) Any member of the College Evaluation Committee can make a motion to reconsider a previous vote prior to the end of the deliberations and the communication of the decision to the Dean of Faculty.
f) The final recommendation of the CEC together with reasons and vote will be communicated in writing to the Dean of Faculty, the faculty member under review, and the chair of the PEC.
g) The faculty member under review will have one week after receiving the CEC's letter to submit a written response (if desired) to that letter prior to advancement of the faculty member's file to the Dean of Faculty. This response will be attached to the recommendation of the CEC and will become part of the faculty member's file.
h) The CEC will keep a confidential record of its meetings. The record will include dates and times of its meetings, names of persons present, items discussed, action taken and the record of such decisions on tenure and promotion of faculty members. The chair will appoint a secretary at each meeting, and the minutes of the meeting will be available only to the members of the CEC. A copy of such minutes will be submitted to the Office of the Dean of Faculty.
i) The CEC will elect its own chair.
j) The CEC will establish or reaffirm procedures governing its own internal functioning, but it will not establish any evaluative guidelines beyond those specified in these bylaws. These procedures must be approved by the Faculty Senate.
g. Dean of Faculty
5) The Dean of Faculty will review the file, all prior recommendations, and all written responses by the faculty member. The Dean of Faculty's recommendation, together with reasons, will be communicated in writing to the President of the College, the faculty member under consideration, and the chairs of the PEC and CEC. The letter will become part of the evaluation file.
6) The faculty member under review will have one week after receiving the Dean of Faculty's letter to submit a written response (if desired) to that letter prior to the advancement of the file to the President. This response will be attached to the recommendation of the Dean of Faculty and will become part of the faculty member's file.
7) If the faculty member determines that there are grounds for appealing the Dean of Faculty's recommendation to the President, the faculty member may request a review of the case through the appeals process. If so, the faculty member must request the appeal in writing within one week from receipt of the Dean of Faculty's recommendation. The faculty member and the Dean of Faculty will then have two weeks to form a special Appeals Committee. The Appeals Committee shall consist of three tenured faculty members, with one member chosen by the faculty member filing the appeal, the second one chosen by the Dean of Faculty, and a third person acceptable to both parties. The Appeals Committee shall review the case and make a
written recommendation on the merits of the appeal to the President and to the faculty member. That recommendation will become part of the evaluation file.
8) Each year, the Dean of Faculty will establish deadlines for the various steps in the evaluation process.
9) The Dean of Faculty is responsible for placing all letters from evaluative groups in the faculty member's evaluation file and is responsible for establishing procedures to ensure the security of the evaluation file.
h. President
10) The President shall review the file, all previous recommendations, and written responses by the faculty member. The President's recommendation, together with reasons, will then be communicated in writing to the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees and to the faculty member. All other recommendations from the PEC, CEC, and Dean of Faculty, as well as all written responses will also be forwarded to the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees.
11) The faculty member under review will have one week after receiving the President's letter to submit a written response (if desired) to that letter prior to consideration of the case by the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees.
12) If there has not yet been an appeal and the faculty member determines that there are grounds for appealing the President's recommendation to the Board, the faculty member may request a review of the case through the appeals process. If so, the faculty member must request the appeal in writing within one week from receipt of the President's recommendation. The faculty member and the President or their designee will then have two weeks to form a special Appeals Committee. The Appeals Committee shall consist of three tenured faculty members, with one member chosen by the faculty member filing the appeal, the second one chosen by the President or their designee, and a third person acceptable to both parties. The Appeals Committee shall review the case and make a written recommendation on the merits of the appeal and that recommendation will become part of the evaluation file.
i. Board of Trustees
13) The Board of Trustees issues the final decision relating to reappointments, promotions, tenure, and dismissals.
14) The Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees will review the recommendations of the President, along with the recommendations of the other evaluators and committees involved in the faculty evaluation process.
15) The Academic Affairs Committee will present its recommendations to the full Board of Trustees for action. All recommendations and responses will be available to the Board.
16) When the Board of Trustees has made its decision, the faculty member will be notified in writing of the decision of the Board.

## G. The Evaluation File

1. Evaluation for pre-tenure contract renewal, tenure and promotion requires preparation of an evaluation file. A clear and concise evaluation file is preferable to one containing redundancies. The faculty member is responsible for assembly of this file and is the only individual who can place materials in the file except for evaluative letters (and responses, if any, to such letters) prepared by the PEC, CEC, Dean of Faculty, President and the Board of Trustees, and the transmittal memorandum for external letters where applicable (see 7.b. below). The Office of the Dean of Faculty is responsible for seeing that letters are placed in
the file at the appropriate times in the process. The evaluation file is distinct from the personnel file. Only those materials listed below may be included in an evaluation file and they must be presented in the order given.
a. Tenure. The tenure file is intended to primarily describe the achievements of the faculty member from the time of hire in a tenurable position at St. Mary's College of Maryland up to the time of the tenure evaluation. Thus, materials from the pre-tenure contract renewal file should be included in the tenure file as indicated below.
1) In situations where the faculty member has had the case deferred, all materials from the initial tenure file will remain in the file. The deferral letter will clearly communicate which materials must be updated or added for the next year's review.
b. Promotion to Professor. The promotion file is intended to reflect the accomplishments of the faculty member across their career. However, as the focus of the review is on the time since promotion to Associate rank, materials from previous reviews should not be included in the promotion file.
c. Faculty are eligible for performance-based merit award at most stages of formal evaluation (pre-tenure review, tenure review, up to two three-year post-tenure evaluations, promotion to professor, and five-year post-tenure evaluations). These awards are made in either flat (pre-tenure and three-year post-tenure reviews) or incremental amounts. Merit awards are recommended by the Dean of Faculty to the President of the College. All merit awards take effect at the start of the subsequent contract year.
d. The specific materials to be included in a faculty member's file when evaluated for contract renewal, tenure, or promotion are noted in Table 1 and Section H below.
2) " $R$ " indicates that this item or category of materials is required in the file at that milestone.
3) " O " indicates the item or category of materials is optional at that milestone but may be included in the file if applicable or desired.
4) "--" indicates the item or category of items should not be included in the file at that milestone.

| Table 1. Materials To Be Included in Faculty Members' Evaluation Files for Pre-Tenure Contract <br> Renewal, Tenure, and Promotion |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item/Category of Materials | Pre-tenure <br> Contract <br> Renewal | Tenure and <br> Promotion to <br> Associate | Promotion to <br> Professor |  |
| 1. Recommendations from Evaluators | R | R | R |  |
| 2. Current CV | R | R | R |  |
| 3. Self-Report | R | R | R |  |
| 4. CDSA Participation | O | O | O |  |
| 5. Colleague Evaluations | O | O | O |  |
| 6. Student Evaluations | O | O | O |  |
| 7. External Letters | -- | R | R |  |
| 8a. Classroom Teaching Evaluations | R | R | R |  |


| 8b. Narrative Teaching Evaluations | -- | R | R |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8c. Course Materials | R | R | R |
| 8d. Advising Materials | R | R | R |
| 9. Scholarly or Creative Materials | R | R | R |
| 10a. Service Materials | R | R | R |
| 10b. Service Letter | -- | -- | R |
| 11. Complete Pre-Tenure Contract Renewal File | -- | R | -- |

H. Materials Included in the Evaluation File

1. Recommendations from Evaluators
a. Following an evaluation, letters by groups involved in the faculty evaluation process will be added to the faculty member's evaluation file by the Office of the Dean of Faculty and will be placed before the CV .
2. Current CV
a. There will be no limit on the number of pages for the CV , but detailed descriptions of the faculty member's courses and service may be more appropriately included in sections 8 (Materials Documenting Teaching) or 10 (Materials Documenting Service) below, or in the comprehensive self-report.
3. Comprehensive Self-Report
a. In this report the faculty member reflects on their teaching; scholarly, professional and/or creative activities; service to the College; and goals for the future. The report should go beyond the information presented in the CV and provide an introduction and context for the teaching materials (section 9), scholarly materials (section 10), and service materials (section 11). The suggested length for this self-report is 3000 words.
4. Cross-Disciplinary Study Area Participation
a. Faculty members who have significant participation in cross-disciplinary study areas, may elect to have a letter of evaluation from the coordinator or from another participant of the relevant area(s) included in the pre-tenure review, tenure, promotion, and/or posttenure review evaluation file.
5. Colleague Evaluation Letters
a. The candidate may elect to include letters of evaluation prepared by colleagues who can focus on any area of a candidate's performance about which the evaluator is well informed. These letters can be solicited from junior or senior faculty within the College community, or professionals in the field, and can focus on a person's scholarship, teaching, service or other features of the faculty member's professional performance. With the exception of a letter focusing on College service for the review leading to promotion to the rank of professor, these letters are not required. Any such letters are most likely to be helpful when they come from faculty members who are outside the candidate's Program Evaluation Committee.
6. Student Evaluation Letters
a. The candidate may elect to include letters of evaluation from students with whom the candidate has interacted in any capacity, but the candidate may not ask students for such letters while they are currently taking a class or other credit-bearing experience with the candidate. These letters may include those from former students or advisees. These
letters are not required for evaluation at any level and are most useful when they address the candidate's work with students outside the typical classroom experience.
7. External Letters
a. In the case of an individual standing for tenure or promotion to professor, two letters assessing the candidate's scholarship must be solicited from beyond the College community. The faculty member, in consultation with the department chair, will compile a list of at least four professional peers from outside the College. The Dean of Faculty will select two people from the list and will request each to evaluate the faculty member's scholarship.
8. Materials Documenting Teaching
a. Classroom Teaching Evaluations (College's approved student evaluations)
1) Instructor reports of the College's approved student evaluations must be included in the evaluation file for all semesters for both pre-tenure renewal and tenure, and for the semesters since tenure for promotion to the rank of Professor. Student comments from approved student evaluations should not be included in these reports. Additional evaluations generated by the instructor for personal assessment purposes will not be considered and should not be included among materials documenting teaching effectiveness.
b. Narrative Evaluations of Teaching by Students
2) The purpose of the narrative evaluations is to obtain a comprehensive picture of the faculty member's teaching ability. In two out of the three semesters preceding the evaluation (for tenure or for promotion to professor only), students in each of the faculty member's classes will be asked to submit written statements in response to specific questions concerning the criteria for excellent teaching described in these bylaws. The faculty member may request these narrative evaluations from students in any two of the three semesters preceding their evaluation, but all classes must be evaluated in each of the two semesters selected. All narrative evaluation responses from each class must be included in the evaluation file. The person administering the narrative evaluations will be either the department chair or other tenured teaching colleague. The faculty administering narrative evaluations will inform students of the purpose of the evaluation and of the way in which the collected information will be used. Those narratives will remain sealed and kept by the department chair or designee until after grades are due for the semester. All narrative evaluation forms will be added to the evaluation file.
c. Course Materials
3) Because of the high value that St. Mary's places on teaching and the ability to understand a faculty member's approach to teaching through classroom materials, the candidate should select a representative number of documents such as syllabi and assignments to include in the file. This small portfolio should avoid repetition of documents that are very similar or the same, show how the candidate's pedagogical approaches have evolved over time, and give a sense of the breadth of classes taught (for example, where applicable, both upper- and lower-level courses, and experimental and cross-disciplinary study area courses).
d. Advising Materials
4) In the semester preceding the evaluation, the advisees of the faculty member will fill out a questionnaire concerning their advising experiences. The chair or coordinator of
the program will administer the questionnaire and a summary of this information will be placed in the evaluation file.
9. Materials Documenting Scholarly, Professional, and/or Creative Activities may include:
a. scholarly publications;
b. papers presented at professional meetings;
c. articles on creative and intellectual topics in journals, magazines, or newspapers;
d. documentation of exhibitions, creations, performances, and presentations;
e. additional material such as announcements or reviews relevant to a person's literary, artistic, dramatic and musical creations, exhibitions, performances and presentations;
f. writings on educational issues; textbooks and other books of an intellectual character authored or edited by the person;
g. documentation concerning the development and organization of scholarly symposia, conferences, or other events that enhance the intellectual life at or outside the College and concerning addresses delivered at such events;
h. consulting or adjudicating reports of a professional nature and reviews of professional work;
i. external grant proposals; information concerning study or training that expands the competence of the faculty member into new areas;
j. information regarding other expressions of continuing professional involvement and growth;
k. documentation of recognition by peers at or outside the College of the faculty member's professional work; and
10. other information that the faculty member deems pertinent.
11. Materials Documenting Service
a. Service Materials
1) Any materials that the faculty member deems to be pertinent may be included, particularly those that support themes discussed in the self-report. These materials may include committee reports written entirely or in part by the candidate, awards for college service, unsolicited letters, brochures or other material evidence of service, etc.
b. Service Letter
2) For promotion to professor only, each candidate's file must include one letter from a faculty or staff member, supervisor, professional colleague, or other collaborator (within or outside the College community) that documents the candidate's contribution to a particular service activity or set of activities. Generally, the candidate should choose the most significant and/or relevant service activity to be represented by this letter. Multiple letters are acceptable if there are multiple activities that the candidate feels should be highlighted; but an excessive number of letters should not be included.
11. Complete Pre-Tenure Contract Renewal File
12. Materials Not to be Included in the Evaluation File
a. The evaluation file must not contain yearly self-reports, employment contracts, duplicates of documents (e.g., page proofs in addition to the published article), or raw data from supplemental self-designed classroom teaching evaluations (i.e., the actual response forms).
I. Three-Year and Five-Year Post-Tenure Review Evaluation Process
13. The purpose of the post-tenure review is to ensure that tenured faculty members are growing as teacher-scholars and contributing to the welfare of the College community.
14. The first three-year post-tenure review will take place in the fifth semester after tenure and promotion. In the sixth year after tenure, the faculty member may either repeat the three-year post-tenure review (due in fall semester) or may instead stand for promotion to professor in the spring. The faculty member will continue to undergo three-year post-tenure reviews until earning promotion to professor. Faculty members are still required to undergo post-tenure review every third fall semester as a means of documenting professional productivity and growth. Should a faculty member elect to be considered for promotion to professor at the time that the three-year review would take place, that evaluation will replace the three-year review. The faculty member will still be eligible for the three-year review merit award in addition to the promotion merit award.
15. The first five-year review will take place in the 11 th semester after promotion to professor and every five years thereafter.
J. Post-Tenure Review Evaluation Process
16. The faculty member under review will compile an evaluation file documenting accomplishments in the areas of teaching, scholarship, and service. The file must include a current CV, comprehensive self-report that describes teaching, advising, scholarship, and service accomplishments, College-approved student evaluations, course material, materials documenting scholarly, professional and/or creative activities, and materials documenting service to the College.
17. The Dean of Faculty will evaluate the file and communicate in writing the decision regarding faculty performance and accompanying merit award (where applicable) to the faculty member. The letter will be shared with the faculty member and become part of the evaluation file..
18. The Dean of Faculty will arrange a meeting with the faculty member to follow up on the posttenure review, answer any questions regarding the letter, discuss plans to stand for promotion, or address performance concerns.
19. The faculty member under review will have one week after receiving the Dean of Faculty's letter to submit a written response (if desired) to that letter to the President. This response will be attached to the decision of the Dean of Faculty and will become part of the faculty member's file.
20. If the faculty member determines that there are grounds for appealing the recommendation of the Dean of Faculty regarding merit evaluation, the faculty member may request a review of the case through the appeals process. If so, the faculty member must request the appeal in writing within one week from receipt of the Dean of Faculty's recommendation. The faculty member and the Dean of Faculty will then have two weeks to form a special Appeals Committee. The Appeals Committee shall consist of three tenured faculty members, with one member chosen by the faculty member filing the appeal, the second one chosen by the Dean of Faculty, and a third person acceptable to both parties. The Appeals Committee shall review the case and make a written recommendation on the merits of the appeal to the President and to the faculty member. That recommendation will become part of the evaluation file.
21. The President shall review the file, the decision of the Dean of Faculty and the faculty member's letter of response to the Dean of Faculty. The President will make the final decision regarding the evaluation and communicate this decision in writing to the faculty member.
a. Librarians at St. Mary's College of Maryland (SMCM) hold faculty status and as such are colleagues with the academic teaching faculty in pursuit of the mission of the College. SMCM librarians use professional, scholarly, and disciplinary knowledge in a variety of ways: selecting, acquiring, and organizing scholarly information; teaching in both formal and informal settings; and providing management of staff and resources in order to facilitate access to scholarly information and library services for all members of the campus community.
b. The SMCM librarians must remain professionally informed, contribute to the development of ideas and knowledge in their fields of expertise, participate in opportunities to share and discuss that knowledge, and seek opportunities for service in the Library, on campus, with our state consortium, and nationally.
c. The policies and procedures outlined below describe the process whereby librarians obtain their rank at appointment, are promoted, earn tenure or emeritus status, and receive professional leave at the College. This document applies to all librarians holding the ranks of Instructor, Assistant Librarian, Associate Librarian, or Librarian. Contractual librarians are not eligible for tenure but may receive a rank.
22. Types of Appointments
a. Temporary appointments of individuals include the following titles: visiting, term, and adjunct. The rank of temporary librarians will be determined using the same criteria for appointing tenure or tenure-track librarians to a rank, with the exception of criteria for service to the College.
b. Tenure-track. A person appointed to a tenure-track position receives probationary employment that may lead to tenure, subject to the procedures, guidelines, and standards described in this document.
c. Tenured. Persons who have been granted tenure may expect continuous employment at St. Mary's College of Maryland subject to the conditions outlined in the Faculty Bylaws.
d. Emeritus and Emerita Rank. The rank of Librarian Emeritus or Librarian Emerita is a distinct honor that may be conferred only upon those librarians who retire from the College, after having distinguished themselves through sustained high quality of librarianship and service to students, service to the College, and scholarly, professional and/or creative achievement. The rank exists to recognize outstanding accomplishment and loyalty to the College and to provide the opportunity for continuing institutional affiliation after formal retirement.
e. Further details on appointment procedures can be found in Section II.D.4.c., Appointment Types.
23. Minimum Criteria for Appointing Librarians to a Rank
a. Each full-time librarian at SMCM holds a functional position title based on their primary work assignment. In addition, each librarian holds a faculty rank commensurate with their level of professional experience and achievement. Expectations regarding librarianship, service, and professional achievement will vary from rank to rank. The higher the rank, the higher the level of expected proficiency. Meeting the following criteria for rank appointment is a necessary but not always a sufficient basis for appointment. When it is in the best interest of the College, any of the standards listed below may be waived to permit the appointment of individuals of distinguished achievement.
b. Instructor
1) Substantial progress towards a master's degree from an American Library Association accredited program or other appropriate terminal degree is usually required.
2) Previous library experience is desirable.
3) Strong evidence of potential for growth as a librarian.
c. Assistant Librarian
4) A master's degree from an American Library Association accredited program or other appropriate terminal degree is usually required.
5) Previous library experience.
6) Strong evidence of marked potential for growth as a librarian.
d. Associate Librarian
7) A master's degree from an American Library Association accredited program or other appropriate terminal degree.
8) Completion of five years of successful librarianship at the college level as an Assistant Librarian or comparable rank.
9) Excellence as a librarian.
10) Professional achievement of high quality.
11) Significant service to the College.
e. Librarian
12) A master's degree from an American Library Association accredited program or other appropriate terminal degree.
13) Completion of at least five years at the rank of Associate Librarian.
14) Demonstration of continued excellence as a librarian.
15) Professional achievement of high quality, recognized by professional peers beyond the campus.
16) Superior service to the College community, preferably in leadership roles.
f. Further information about appointments may be found in Section IV.C, Conditions for Tenure-Track and Tenured Appointments.
4. Standards for Evaluating Librarians for Pre-tenure Contract Renewal, Tenure, Promotion and Post-tenure Review
a. The three basic criteria applied to librarian evaluation are excellence in librarianship; service to the College and the wider community; and scholarly, professional, and/or creative achievement. Librarianship of high quality in the area of assigned responsibility is of greatest importance and cannot be replaced by high achievement in other areas.
b. The following definitions and guidelines are used in the evaluation of librarians.
1) Excellence in Librarianship
> a) Librarianship at SMCM includes developing, providing access to, managing, or preserving the library's collections and instructing students, faculty, and others in the use of scholarly information resources and services. Librarians must demonstrate superior performance in their area(s) of assigned responsibility and must participate in the collaborative endeavors of librarianship. While librarians may carry out many different functions and roles, these are some common elements that characterize excellent librarians working at a teaching-focused primarily undergraduate institution.
> b) Excellent librarians should:
i) Show respect for all students and concern for their intellectual development.
ii) Have broad and deep knowledge of librarianship and how it relates to other disciplines.
iii) Demonstrate a commitment to librarianship through an ongoing professional development process that includes flexibility, experimentation, and critical self-reflection.
iv) Have the ability to communicate effectively and encourage students to actively engage with diverse ideas both in and out of the classroom.
v) Encourage students to think critically about and clearly express their ideas throughout their intellectual life.
vi) Take an active and effective role in advising. Advising should include knowledge of relevant college policies and procedures, timely and accurate responses to advisee queries, informed guidance in course selection, knowledge of student interests and goals, and active discussion of postgraduation academic and career decisions.
c) Demonstrated excellence in librarianship may include, but is not limited to, the following:
i) Acquiring additional graduate degrees bearing on the area of core responsibility.
ii) Analyzing, cataloging, classifying, describing, or indexing library materials.
iii) Continuing professional development.
iv) Designing or developing new information resources.
v) Developing instructional materials in print or electronic formats.
vi) Instructing in the use of library materials and in support of information literacy goals and outcomes in collaboration with faculty in classes.
vii) Providing reference and research services to teach patrons how to find credible and appropriate information.
viii) Leading through administrative or project responsibilities.
ix) Mentoring students and colleagues.
x) Organizing and retrieving information.
xi) Participating on committees or task forces within the library.
xii) Selecting, evaluating, acquiring, or preserving library and archival materials.
xiii) Participating in University System of Maryland and Affiliated Institutions (USMAI) consortial committees, groups, task forces, etc. essential to the successful operational functions of the consortium.
2) Service to the College and the Wider Community
a) As part of their service to the College, librarians are expected
i) to attend general faculty meetings as well as program meetings
ii) to serve on standing committees or ad hoc committees of the College and/or the Faculty Senate
iii) to participate in institutional self-study and evaluation programs
iv) to attend faculty workshops and conferences, College convocations and commencement exercises
v) to nominate candidates for degrees, honors and prizes
vi) to help formulate courses and programs.
b) Librarians are expected to conduct themselves professionally and to accept their fair share of the Library's and College's administrative workload. Librarians should contribute to the wellbeing of the College and its students and personnel by participating in activities and programs that improve the functioning of the institution and enhance life at the College.
c) Additional service activities may include, but are not limited to, the following:
i) Preparing displays, newsletters, or publications for the College.
ii) Participating in recruitment of faculty and staff.
iii) Organizing, or active participation in, programs that enhance the cultural or intellectual life of the College or in the wider community.
iv) Organizing, or active participation in, social, charitable, or educational programs at the College or in the wider community.
v) Contributing to alumni relations, student recruitment, and college advancement.
vi) Contributing to extra-curricular programs or activities that enhance student development.
vii) Contributing to international programs and study abroad.
viii) Serving on library committees outside usual area of responsibility.
ix) Serving on voluntary USMAI consortial communities or on state, regional, or national committees of professional organizations.
3) Scholarly, Professional, and/or Creative Achievement
a) Scholarly, professional, and/or creative activities beyond the SMCM Library enhance the intellectual development of the librarian. These achievements are evidence of the ways in which librarians contribute to the development of the theory and/or practice of the profession.
b) Contributions in this area may include, but are not limited to, the following:
i) Acceptance of articles by recognized professional, peer-reviewed journals.
ii) Acceptance of articles by respected national journals, magazines, or newspapers.
iii) Publication of books or book chapters of an intellectual nature by reputable publishers.
iv) Publication of digital projects and/or collections, software, web pages, and/or manuals.
v) Service on editorial boards.
vi) Publication of reviews.
vii) Contributions to exhibitions, films, videos.
viii) Awarding of grants, fellowships, prizes, or other awards.
ix) Design of original plans.
x) Presentation of papers, programs, or posters at scholarly or professional meetings.
5. Expected Achievements of Librarians at Various Evaluations
a. Pre-tenure Contract Renewal
1) At the time of this evaluation, the candidate should have made significant progress towards achieving excellence in librarianship. It is also expected that the candidate will have begun to implement a program of service to the College and scholarly, professional and/or creative activities. Although the candidate will be evaluated in
all three areas, primary importance will be given to the person's librarianship.
Persons who are not professionally competent or who fail to demonstrate continued significant progress towards excellence in librarianship, service, or scholarly/professional/creative activity should not be reappointed. Librarians who have not completed the work for the appropriate terminal degree generally will not be reappointed.
b. Tenure and Promotion to Associate Librarian
2) In this evaluation, the candidate's librarianship, service, and scholarly, professional, and/or creative achievements will be assessed. For the granting of tenure and promotion to Associate Librarian, the candidate must be an excellent librarian. Scholarly, professional, and creative accomplishments must be of high quality and recognized by professional peers beyond the campus. Service to the College must be of high quality. All achievements must be consistent with the expectations by the College spelled out in the pre-tenure evaluations.
c. Promotion to Librarian
3) Librarians with tenure are expected to continue to develop as librarians, colleagues, and scholars throughout their careers. For promotion to Librarian, the candidate must continue to be an excellent librarian, and demonstrate continuing high quality professional activity recognized outside the College. Service to the College should be of superior quality and include leadership roles. The librarian's progress should be consistent with the expectations by the College spelled out in earlier evaluations.
6. The Evaluation Process for Pre-tenure Contract Renewal, Tenure, and Promotion of Librarians
a. The librarian evaluation process is established for the evaluation of all full-time librarians for the purposes of pre-tenure contract renewal, the awarding of tenure, and promotion to higher rank. The formal evaluation for pre-tenure and tenured librarians is focused on an evaluation file consisting of required materials specific to the stage of evaluation and prepared by the librarian under evaluation. Once submitted, the evaluation file is the common document reviewed and evaluated in sequence by the evaluative chain, with the exception of instructors, whose files are evaluated only by the chair and the Dean of Faculty. The chain of evaluation is as follows: the Library Evaluation Committee makes a recommendation to the College Evaluation Committee who makes a recommendation to the Dean of Faculty who in turn makes a recommendation to the President. The President recommends an action to the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees and the Board of Trustees makes the final decision.
b. Confidentiality of the Process and Conduct of Participants in the Process
1) Committees and individuals involved in the evaluation process have the right and the responsibility to conduct their deliberations without outside interference.
2) Participants in the evaluation process must observe strict confidentiality at each step. Discussions about a candidate may only involve those with direct knowledge of the content of the librarian's file.
3) Librarians shall be notified of all decisions that concern them and will be informed of the reasons for each decision.
4) Upon written request by a librarian or an officer or committee involved in the evaluation process, the Faculty Senate shall investigate any allegations of violations of procedures.
c. Timetable of the Evaluation Process
5) Pre-tenure Contract Renewal
a) The following timetable applies to persons who are appointed to tenure-track positions at the entry level. For librarians who are credited with previous experience in librarianship, appropriate adjustments will be made as described in the appointment letter.
b) The pre-tenure evaluation will be conducted during the fifth semester of the librarian's employment at the College.
c) The librarian must have their evaluation file submitted to the library director by August 15th prior to the start of their fifth semester.
d) Following the formal pre-tenure evaluation in the third year, the library director will discuss with the librarian the expectations for tenure as outlined in the program letter.
6) Tenure and Promotion to Associate Librarian
a) The evaluation for granting of tenure and simultaneous promotion to associate librarian will typically occur in the librarian's twelfth semester at the College, unless an earlier time is agreed to by the Dean of Faculty or an extension has been granted. Pre-tenure sabbatical time is included in this count. Leave of absence may also be included in this count.
b) The librarian must have their evaluation file submitted to the library director by a time determined by the Dean of Faculty.
7) Promotion to Librarian
a) As early as the twelfth semester following tenure and promotion to Associate Librarian, a librarian may make application to the library director to be promoted to Librarian. This time includes any time on sabbatical from the College. This time may include leaves of absence.
d. The Evaluation Process
8) Review of candidates for reappointment, tenure, and promotion is conducted by the following groups and individuals, in the specified order. The specific purpose of each group or individual is to evaluate the performance of the faculty member under review, and make recommendations on pre-tenure contract renewal, tenure, or promotion.
9) The candidate is responsible for submitting the completed electronic file to the LEC Chair and to the Office of the Dean of Faculty simultaneously no later than close of business on the indicated due date, or the following business day if the due date is a weekend or holiday.
10) Should the file be found to have structural problems, mechanical or permissions issues, or inaccessible content, the Office of the Dean of Faculty may communicate with the candidate to pursue corrections. If the candidate is unavailable or unresponsive to this request after one week, the file will progress through the evaluation chain noting that deficiency.
11) Should the file be found to have erroneous or unclear content, either evaluative committee may send the candidate a request for correction or clarification, simultaneously copying in the Dean of Faculty. The candidate will have one week to reply with a written response; both communications will be added to the file alongside the evaluating committee's letter.
12) Library Evaluation Committee (LEC)
a) Composition of the LEC
i) The Library Evaluation Committee (LEC) comprises librarians with tenure who are not serving in administrative positions outside of the Library.
Tenured members of the Library who are on sabbatical in semesters when a promotion case is scheduled for review may opt to sit in on, review, and vote with the LEC if they wish, but such service shall be neither compulsory nor coerced.
ii) The chair of the LEC shall be the Library Director. If the Library Director is not tenured or is standing for tenure or promotion, the LEC will elect a chair from within its members.
iii) A LEC will contain no fewer than three members. If there are no more than two librarians with tenure, the person under review shall submit the names of faculty members from other departments to serve on the LEC and the Dean of Faculty shall appoint from this list.
b) Procedures for the LEC
i) It is the responsibility of the LEC to participate in a thorough and balanced evaluation of their colleagues. All LEC members should acquire knowledge of the candidate's librarianship, through discussions about librarianship with the candidate, review and discussion of library-related materials, or observations. The LEC Chair will collaborate with the senior faculty and the candidate to arrange pedagogical discussions or review of materials. The LEC Chair may request, in the semester prior to a formal review (for pretenure contract renewal, tenure, or promotion), to schedule one to three (total) observational visits by the library director or other senior faculty. The LEC Chair and the candidate will work together to find mutually acceptable times for the visits. LEC members should also acquaint themselves with the candidate's professional work and service to the College by reviewing the candidate's evaluation file.
ii) An evaluative meeting of the LEC will be convened to discuss the candidate's file. Voting procedures for the LEC will be as follows.
(a) Voting on motions will be by secret ballot.
(b) Votes will be written, with two possible votes of either yea or nay. Any member of the LEC who is not prepared to vote yea or nay on the motion of a candidate for contract renewal or promotion should recuse themselves from the proceedings for that particular case. If a recusal will result in a number fewer than three remaining members on the LEC, the LEC will be reconstituted according to the terms specified above in the section pertaining to the Composition of the LEC. A motion to recommend renewal or promotion will pass if and only if a majority of the votes are cast in favor of the motion.
(c) At all evaluation levels, a vote shall be taken on a motion to recommend the candidate for contract renewal, tenure and promotion, or promotion as appropriate.
iii) Following this evaluative meeting, the LEC chair will write a letter summarizing the results of the meeting. The letter must reflect both the majority and minority opinions of the tenured librarians, including all votes cast.
iv) The letter will be circulated to all tenured members of the LEC not on sabbatical for review and signature.
v) The letter will be delivered to the librarian and a copy sent to the Office of the Dean of Faculty.
vi) The librarian under review will have one week after receiving the LEC chair's letter to submit a written response (if desired) to that letter prior to advancement of the faculty member's file to the College Evaluation Committee. This response will be delivered to both the LEC chair and the Dean of Faculty.
vii) The evaluation file will be delivered to the Office of the Dean of Faculty and the LEC chair's letter and any response to that letter will be placed in the librarian's evaluation file.
13) College Evaluation Committee (CEC)
a) The CEC will evaluate the librarian according to the standards set forth for librarians in these Bylaws (Section VK4).
b) The CEC shall follow the same procedures in evaluating librarians as those set forth for teaching faculty in these Bylaws (Section VF4f2).
14) Dean of Faculty
a) The Dean of Faculty will evaluate the librarian according to the standards set forth for librarians in these Bylaws (Section VK4).
b) The Dean of Faculty shall follow the same procedures in evaluating librarians as those set forth for teaching faculty in these Bylaws (Section VF4g).
15) Appeals Committee
a) Appeals may be made as set forth in these Bylaws in Section VF4g3
16) President
a) The President will evaluate the librarian according to the standards set forth for librarians in these Bylaws (Section VL4).
b) The President shall follow the same procedures in evaluating librarians as those set forth for teaching faculty in these Bylaws (Section VF4h).
c) Appeals may be made as set forth in these Bylaws in Section VF4h3.
17) Board of Trustees
a) The Board of Trustees issues the final decision relating to reappointments, promotions, tenure, and dismissals.
b) The Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees will review the recommendations of the President, along with the recommendations of the other evaluators and committees involved in the librarian evaluation process.
c) The Academic Affairs Committee will present its recommendations to the full Board of Trustees for action. All recommendations and responses will be available to the board.
d) When the Board of Trustees has made its decision, the librarian will be notified in writing of the decision of the board.
7. Materials Included in the Evaluation File
a. Evaluation for pre-tenure contract renewal, tenure, and promotion require preparation of an evaluation file. A clear and concise evaluation file is preferable to one containing redundancies. The librarian is responsible for assembly of this file and is the only individual who can place materials in the file except for evaluative letters (and responses, if any, to such letters) prepared by the library director, Library Evaluation Committee,

College Evaluation Committee, Dean of Faculty, President, the Board of Trustees, and the transmittal memorandum for external letters where applicable. The Office of the Dean of Faculty is responsible for seeing that letters are placed in the file at the appropriate times in the process. The evaluation file is distinct from the personnel file. Only those materials listed below may be included in a file, and they must be presented in the order given.

1) Tenure. The tenure file is intended to primarily describe the achievements of the librarian from the time of hire in a tenurable position at St. Mary's College of Maryland up to the time of the tenure evaluation. Thus, materials from the pre-tenure contract renewal file should be included in the tenure file as indicated below.
a) In situations where the faculty member has had the case deferred, all materials from the initial tenure file will remain in the file. The deferral letter will clearly communicate which materials must be updated or added for the next year's review.
2) Promotion to Librarian. The promotion file is intended to reflect the accomplishments of the faculty member across their career. However, as the focus of the review is on the time since promotion to Associate rank, materials from previous reviews should not be included in the promotion file.
b. The specific materials to be included in a librarian's file when evaluated for contract renewal, tenure, or promotion are noted in Table 2.
" $R$ " indicates that this item or category of materials is required in the file at that milestone.
"O" indicates the item or category of materials is optional at that milestone but may be included in the file if applicable or desired.
"--" indicates the item or category of items should not be included in the file at that milestone.

| Table 2. Materials To Be Included in Librarians' Evaluation Files for Pre-Tenure Contract Renewal, <br> Tenure, and Promotion |  |  |  |  | Pre-tenure <br> Contract <br> Renewal | Tenure and <br> Promotion to <br> Associate | Promotion to <br> Librarian |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item/Category of Materials | R | R | R |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Recommendations from Evaluators | R | R | R |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Current CV | R | R | R |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Self-Report | O | O | O |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Academic Program Participation | O | O | O |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Colleague Evaluations | O | O | O |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Student Evaluations | -- | R | R |  |  |  |  |
| 7. External Letters | R | R | R |  |  |  |  |
| 8a. Materials Documenting Librarianship | R | R | R |  |  |  |  |
| 8b. Advising Materials | R | R | R |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Scholarly, Professional, or Creative Materials | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 10a. Service Materials | -- | -- | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10b. Service Letter | - | -- | R |
| 11. Complete Pre-Tenure Contract Renewal File | -- | R | -- |

1) Recommendations from Evaluators: Following an evaluation, letters by groups involved in the librarian evaluation process will be added to the librarian's evaluation file by the Office of the Dean of Faculty and will be placed before the CV.
2) Current CV
a) There will be no limit on the number of pages for the CV , but it should not describe service or courses taught, as these are more appropriately included in Section 8 (Materials Documenting Librarianship) or Section 10 (Materials Documenting Service) below, or in the comprehensive self-report.
3) Comprehensive Self-report
a) In this report the librarian reflects on their librarianship; mentoring process; service to the College and the wider community; scholarly, professional and/or creative activities; and goals for the future. The suggested length for this selfreport is 3000 words.
4) Academic Program Participation
a) Librarians who have significant participation in an academic program may elect to have a letter of evaluation from the program chair or coordinator or from another participant of the relevant area(s) included in the pre-tenure review, tenure, promotion, and/or post-tenure review evaluation file.
5) Colleague Evaluation Letters
a) The candidate may elect to include letters of evaluation prepared by colleagues who can focus on any area of a candidate's performance about which the evaluator is well informed. These letters can be solicited from junior or senior faculty within the College community and can focus on a person's librarianship, service, scholarly/professional/creative activities, or other features of the librarian's professional performance. With the exception of a letter focusing on college service for the review leading to promotion to the rank of professor, these letters are not required. Any such letters are mostly likely to be helpful when they come from faculty members who are outside the candidate's LEC.
6) Student Evaluation Letters
a) The candidate may elect to include letters of evaluation from students with whom the candidate has interacted in any capacity, but the candidate may not ask students for such letters while they are currently taking a class or other creditbearing experience with the candidate, or are directly supervised by the candidate in a paid employment capacity. These letters may include those from former students or advisees. These letters are not required for evaluation at any level and are most useful when they address the candidate's work with students outside the typical classroom experience.
7) External Letters
a) In the case of an individual standing for tenure or promotion to librarian, two letters assessing the candidate's scholarship must be solicited from beyond the College community. The candidate, in consultation with the LEC chair, will compile a list of at least four professional peers from outside the College. The

Dean of Faculty will select two people from the list and will request each to evaluate the faculty member's scholarship.
8) Materials Documenting Librarianship
a) These materials should address the librarian's core area(s) of responsibility, and may include subject guides, special projects or statistical reports, instructional materials, loader specifications, web project screen shots, finding aides, etc.
b) Advising Materials
i) In the semester preceding the evaluation, the advisees of the librarian will fill out a questionnaire concerning their advising experiences. The library director will administer the questionnaire and a summary of this information will be placed in the evaluation file.
9) Materials Documenting Scholarly, Professional, and/or Creative Activities may include:
a) scholarly publications;
b) papers presented at professional meetings;
c) articles on creative and intellectual topics in journals, magazines, or newspapers;
d) documentation of exhibitions, creations, performances, and presentations;
e) additional material such as announcements or reviews relevant to a person's literary, artistic, dramatic and musical creations, exhibitions, performances and presentations;
f) writings on educational issues; textbooks and other books of an intellectual character authored or edited by the person;
g) documentation concerning the development and organization of scholarly symposia, conferences, or other events that enhance the intellectual life at or outside the College and concerning addresses delivered at such events;
h) consulting or adjudicating reports of a professional nature and reviews of professional work;
i) external grant proposals; information concerning study or training that expands the competence of the faculty member into new areas;
j) information regarding other expressions of continuing professional involvement and growth;
k) documentation of recognition by peers at or outside the College of the faculty member's professional work; and

1) other information that the faculty member deems pertinent.
2) Materials Documenting Service to the College and the Wider Community
a) Service Materials
i) Any materials that the librarian deems to be pertinent may be included, particularly those that support themes discussed in the self-report. These materials may include committee reports written all or in part by the candidate, awards for college service, unsolicited letters, brochures, or other material evidence of service, etc.
b) Service Letter
i) For promotion to Librarian only, each candidate's file must include one letter from a faculty or staff member, supervisor, professional colleague, or other collaborator (within or outside the College community) that documents the candidate's contribution to a particular service activity or set of activities. Generally, the candidate should choose the most significant and/or relevant acceptable if there are multiple activities that the candidate feels should be highlighted; but an excessive number of letters should not be included.
3) Complete Pre-Tenure Contract Renewal File
4) Materials Not to be Included in the Evaluation File
a) The evaluation file may not contain yearly self-reports, the library director's annual letters regarding self-reports, employment contracts, duplicates of documents (e.g. page proofs in addition to the published article), or raw data from supplemental self-designed evaluations (i.e., the actual response forms).
8. Three-Year and Five-Year Post-Tenure Review Evaluation Process for Library Faculty
a. The purpose of the post-tenure review is to ensure that library faculty with tenure are growing as librarians and contributing to the welfare of the College community.
b. The first three-year post-tenure review will take place in the fifth semester after tenure and promotion. In the sixth year after tenure, the library faculty member may either repeat the three-year post-tenure review (due in fall semester) or may instead stand for promotion to Librarian in the spring. The library faculty member will continue to undergo three-year post-tenure reviews until earning promotion to Librarian. Library faculty members are still required to undergo post-tenure review every third fall semester as a means of documenting professional productivity and growth. Should a library faculty member elect to be considered for promotion to Librarian at the time that the three-year review would take place, that evaluation will replace the three-year review. The library faculty member will still be eligible for the three-year review merit award in addition to the promotion merit award.
c. The first five-year review will take place in the 11 th semester after promotion to Librarian and every five years thereafter.
9. Post-Tenure Review Evaluation Process
a. The library faculty member under review will compile an evaluation file documenting accomplishments in the areas of librarianship, service, and scholarly, professional and/or creative activities. The file must include a current CV, comprehensive self-report, materials documenting librarianship, materials documenting service to the College and wider community, and materials documenting scholarly, professional and/or creative activities.
b. The Dean of Faculty will evaluate the file and communicate in writing the decision regarding performance and accompanying merit award (where applicable) to the librarian. The letter will be shared with the library faculty member and become part of the evaluation file.
c. The Dean of Faculty will arrange a meeting with the library faculty member to follow up on the post-tenure review, answer any questions regarding the letter, discuss plans to stand for promotion, or address performance concerns.
d. The library faculty member under review will have one week after receiving the Dean of Faculty's letter to submit a written response (if desired) to that letter to the President. This response will be attached to the decision of the Dean of Faculty and will become part of the library faculty member's file.
e. If the library faculty member determines that there are grounds for appealing the recommendation of the Dean of Faculty regarding merit evaluation, the library faculty member may request a review of the case through the appeals process. If so, the library faculty member must request the appeal in writing within one week from receipt of the

Dean of Faculty's recommendation. The library faculty member and the Dean of Faculty will then have two weeks to form a special Appeals Committee. The Appeals Committee shall consist of three tenured faculty members, with one member chosen by the library faculty member filing the appeal, the second one chosen by the Dean of Faculty, and a third person acceptable to both parties. The Appeals Committee shall review the case and make a written recommendation on the merits of the appeal to the President and to the library faculty member. That recommendation will become part of the evaluation file.
f. The President shall review the file, the decision of the Dean of Faculty, and the library faculty member's letter of response to the Dean of Faculty. The President will make the final decision regarding the appeal of the library faculty member regarding the evaluation and communicate this decision in writing to the library faculty member.
g. Should a library faculty member elect to be considered for promotion to Librarian at the time that the five-year review would take place, that evaluation will replace the five-year review; however, the library faculty member will still be eligible for the five-year review merit award in addition to the promotion merit award.

## L. Dismissal of a Faculty Member for Adequate Cause

1. General
a. The Board of Trustees may dismiss a faculty member with tenure or before the end of any contractual appointment only for one or more of the following causes:
incompetence, professional or scholarly misconduct, serious neglect of duty, or conviction or admission of a serious crime involving moral turpitude that would evoke condemnation by the academic community (as described in the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure *American Association of University Professors, 1940 [1970]).
b. In a case where immediate harm to the faculty member or to others is threatened by continuance in the position, the person may be suspended at the discretion of the President for the duration of the dismissal procedure while continuing to receive normal salary.
2. Procedures for Dismissal for Adequate Cause
a. To dismiss a faculty member, the procedures described below apply. All steps are to be taken as expeditiously as possible.
b. Preliminary Proceedings
i. Before formal steps are taken, the department chair and the Dean of Faculty shall attempt to hold a special conference with the faculty member concerned and try to resolve the matter by mutual consent. If this is not possible, formal proceedings may be initiated.
c. Formal Proceedings
1) The President shall inform the faculty member in writing of the intention of the College to dismiss, and of the reasons for this step. The notification must be delivered personally, or by registered or certified mail, to the last known address of the faculty member. A copy of the President's letter shall be transmitted to the College Evaluation Committee. If the faculty member so requests, the College Evaluation Committee shall conduct an investigation of the reasons and/or hold a hearing (see below). The request of the faculty member to the committee must be made, in writing, no later than ten working days after the receipt of the dismissal notice. After consideration of the report and the recommendation of the College Evaluation Committee, the President shall make a report and a recommendation to
the Board of Trustees. The faculty member shall receive copies of all reports and recommendations by the College Evaluation Committee and the President at the time they are issued.
d. The faculty member may appeal the President's decision to the Board of Trustees which will determine the form of the appeal. If the faculty member wishes to appeal the President's decision, the faculty member must submit the request in writing to the Board Chairperson within two weeks of receipt of the President's recommendation to the Board. The faculty member facing dismissal for cause is entitled to a formal hearing before the Board of Trustees to make an appeal to the President's decision. At this appeal, the faculty member shall be entitled to address the Board of Trustees and to be represented by any person or persons of their choice, including an attorney.
e. The final action of the Board of Trustees shall be taken at a regular or special meeting. To dismiss a faculty member, an affirmative vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Trustees is required.
3. Investigation and Hearing by the College Evaluation Committee
a. If the faculty member requests an investigation and/or a hearing by the College Evaluation Committee, the following standards and procedures shall be observed:
1) While the dismissal procedures take place, the President may suspend the faculty member or assign them to other duties in lieu of suspension only if immediate harm to the faculty member or to others is threatened by continuance of regular duties, incompetence, professional or scholarly misconduct, serious neglect of duty, or conviction or admission of a serious crime involving moral turpitude that would evoke condemnation by the academic community. The President will inform the College Evaluation Committee of the suspension, and the Committee may make a recommendation concerning the propriety, the length, and the other conditions of the suspension. During the period of suspension, the faculty member will continue to receive their regular salary.
2) The College Evaluation Committee may, with the consent of the parties concerned, hold joint prehearing meetings with the parties in order to (i) simplify the issues, (ii) determine matters of fact, (iii) provide for the exchange of documentary or other information, and (iv) achieve other appropriate prehearing objectives as will make the hearing fair, effective, and expeditious.
3) The hearing will be closed unless all parties agree to open it to the College community.
4) During the proceedings the faculty member will be permitted to have counsel present, and they may opt to nominate one professional colleague to serve as a spokesperson during the hearing.
5) At the request of either party or the Committee, a representative of a responsible educational organization will be permitted to attend the proceedings as an observer.
6) A verbatim record of the hearing will be taken, and a copy will be made available to the faculty member without cost, at the faculty member's request.
7) The burden of proof that adequate cause exists rests with the institution and will be satisfied only by clear and convincing evidence in the record considered as a whole.
8) At its discretion, the Committee will grant adjournments to enable either party to investigate evidence about which, in its judgment, a valid claim of surprise is made.
9) The faculty member will be afforded an opportunity to obtain necessary witnesses and documentary or other evidence. The administration will cooperate with the

Committee in securing witnesses and making available documentary and other evidence that the Committee deems pertinent. Confidential information from the personnel files of other faculty members may be released only with the consent of these persons.
10) The faculty member and the administration will have the right to confront and crossexamine all witnesses. Where the witnesses cannot or will not appear, but the Committee determines that the interests of justice require admission of their statements, the Committee will identify the witnesses, disclose their statements, and, if possible, provide for interrogatories. Persons who have completed anonymous student evaluations on the faculty member are not considered to be witnesses.
11) In the hearing of charges of incompetence, the testimony will, at the request of the faculty member or the College, include that of qualified faculty members from this or other institutions of higher education.
12) The Committee will not be bound by strict rules of legal evidence and may admit any evidence which is of probative value in determining the issues involved.
13) The findings of fact and the decision will be based solely on the hearing record.
14) Except for such simple announcements as may be required, covering the time of the hearing(s) and similar matters, and except as otherwise provided by law, public statements, and publicity about the case by either the faculty member or administrative officers will be avoided as far as possible until the proceedings have been completed, including consideration by the Board of Trustees. The President and the faculty member will be notified in writing of the recommendation of the Committee and will be given a copy of the record of the hearing.
15) If the Committee concludes that adequate cause for dismissal has not been established by the evidence in the record, it will so report to the President.
16) If the Committee concludes that adequate cause for dismissal has been established, but that an academic penalty less than dismissal would be more appropriate, it will so report, with supporting reasons.
17) If the President rejects the report, the President will state the reasons for doing so, in writing, to the Committee and to the faculty member, and provide an opportunity for response within ten days before transmitting the case to the Board of Trustees.

## V. Bylaws Governing Lecturer Appointments and Evaluations

A. Minimum Criteria for Appointing Lecturers at Rank

1. Lecturer
a. A master's degree in a field relevant to the area of instruction.
b. Typically less than five years of full-time teaching experience in higher education.
2. Senior Lecturer
a. A master's degree in a field relevant to the area of instruction.
b. Typically at least five years of full-time teaching experience in higher education.
3. Master Lecturer
a. A master's degree in a field relevant to the area of instruction.
b. Typically at least five years full-time teaching experience in higher education at the rank of Senior Lecturer or the equivalent.
B. Conditions for Lecturer Appointments
4. The initial appointment is typically for a term of three years. A notice that the appointment will or will not be renewed must be given in writing by the Dean of Faculty's Office no later
than 6 months before the expiration of the contract. Failure to provide notice of non-renewal by the sixth month before expiration of the contract shall result in contract renewal.
5. A second appointment is typically for a term of three years. Notice that the appointment will or will not be renewed must be given in writing by the Dean of Faculty no later than 12 months before the expiration of the contract.
6. Renewals after the second appointment are typically for a term of five years. For such renewals, notice that the appointment will or will not be extended for another five years must be given in writing by the Dean of Faculty no later than 12 months before the expiration of the contract.
7. Any failure to provide the required notice of non-renewal by the twelfth month before contract expiration shall result in an extension of the existing contract of no less than one year.
8. Faculty within the Lecturer track will be appointed to the College under the immediate supervision of the Dean of Faculty. As appropriate to the disciplinary expertise of the faculty member and the anticipated teaching responsibilities, the Dean of Faculty may delegate immediate supervision to a department chair, cross-disciplinary program coordinator, Associate Dean, or other person as deemed by the Dean of Faculty to be consistent with the effective operation of the faculty member's duties.
9. The full-time teaching load for faculty in the Lecturer track typically is eight courses ( 32 credits) per academic year. At the request of the faculty member and based on the needs of the College, a summer teaching load, a specified advising load, or an administrative assignment can be substituted for a portion of the academic-year load without reduced or additional compensation.
10. Scholarly activity sufficient to remain current in pedagogy and disciplinary content is expected within the full-time load. Scholarly activity beyond that sufficient for currency is encouraged but shall not be considered in personnel actions.
11. Departmental citizenship in the form of attending department meetings and responding to administrative requests regarding courses is required. While service beyond basic departmental and/or programmatic needs is not expected, such extra-departmental service can be valued and is considered in personnel actions.
12. Compensation within the Lecturer track will be commensurate with experience and competitive to that of tenure-track faculty positions at comparable rank.
13. Contract renewals and promotions within the Lecturer track will include concomitant salary adjustments competitive to those received by tenured and tenure-track faculty members at similar career milestones.
C. Standards for Evaluating Lecturers
14. The basic criterion of evaluation is excellence in teaching. Lecturer positions carry only modest expectations of scholarly activity directed at academic and professional currency. Modest service to the College beyond basic departmental citizenship is valued but not required for Lecturers. High quality teaching and the maintenance of excellence and competence in the classroom are the primary criteria for evaluation and cannot be replaced by achievement in the other areas.
15. Evaluation of excellence in teaching shall follow the standards defined for tenured and tenure-track faculty.
16. Expected teaching achievements at various ranks shall follow the expectations for teaching achievement for tenured and tenure-track faculty at commensurate ranks. Lecturers who are not professionally or pedagogically current should not be renewed.
17. Lecturers under review for reappointment may opt to submit a self-report and teaching materials to the Department Chair to inform the recommendation letter. Any optional materials from the lecturer must be submitted to the Dean of Faculty with the Chair's recommendation.
VI. Bylaws Governing Evaluations of Faculty on Visiting or Adjunct Appointments

Program chairs or coordinators are responsible for reviewing the performance of faculty members with visiting or adjunct appointments. They should regularly review the student evaluations of these faculty members and meet with them to discuss the results. Visiting and adjunct professors who are not professionally competent or who lack effective teaching skills should not be reappointed. Chairs/coordinators should make recommendations about contract renewal or nonrenewal to the Dean of Faculty or their designee.

